



April 2, 2015

To our Stockholders:

You are invited to attend the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Corrections Corporation of America (the "Company") to be held at 10:00 a.m., local time, on Thursday, May 14, 2015, at the Company's corporate headquarters, 10 Burton Hills Boulevard, Nashville, Tennessee. The Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement, both of which accompany this letter, provide details regarding the business to be conducted at the meeting, as well as other important information about the Company.

Following the formal matters to be addressed at the meeting, stockholders will have the opportunity to ask questions about the Company.

If you wish to attend the meeting, you will need to request an admission ticket in advance. Instructions on how you can request an admission ticket are on page 2 of the Proxy Statement.

Along with the other members of the Board of Directors and management, we look forward to greeting you at the Annual Meeting if you are able to attend.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "John D. Ferguson".

John D. Ferguson
Chairman of the Board of Directors

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Damon T. Hininger".

Damon T. Hininger
President and Chief Executive Officer

CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA

10 Burton Hills Boulevard
Nashville, Tennessee 37215

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON THURSDAY, MAY 14, 2015

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held at 10:00 a.m., local time, on Thursday, May 14, 2015, at our corporate headquarters, 10 Burton Hills Boulevard, Nashville, Tennessee. At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will consider and act on the following items of business:

- (1) The election of the 12 nominees named in the accompanying Proxy Statement to serve on our Board of Directors. The nominees are John D. Ferguson, Damon T. Hininger, Donna M. Alvarado, John D. Correnti, Robert J. Dennis, C. Michael Jacobi, Anne L. Mariucci, Mark A. Emkes, Thurgood Marshall, Jr., Charles L. Overby, John R. Prann, Jr. and Joseph V. Russell.
- (2) The ratification of the appointment by our Audit and Risk Committee of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015.
- (3) An advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers.
- (4) Such other matters as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

We are pleased to take advantage of Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") rules that allow issuers to furnish proxy materials to their stockholders over the internet. We believe these rules allow us to provide our stockholders with the information they need in a timely and convenient manner, while lowering the costs of delivery and reducing the environmental impact of our annual meeting. Our Proxy Statement and Annual Report to Stockholders (including our Letter to Stockholders and 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K) are available on our website at www.cca.com. Additionally, and in accordance with SEC rules, you may access our proxy materials at <http://materials.proxyvote.com/22025Y>. You can request copies of the proxy materials, including our Proxy Statement, without charge by sending a written request to CCA, Attention: Cameron Hopewell, 10 Burton Hills Boulevard, Nashville, Tennessee 37215, or by calling Cameron Hopewell at (615) 263-3000.

Your vote is important. You may vote by internet or toll-free telephone. If you receive a copy of the proxy statement and card by mail, you may vote by completing, signing and returning the proxy card in the accompanying postage-paid envelope. Please refer to the proxy card and the accompanying Proxy Statement for additional information regarding your voting options. Even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please take advantage of one of the advance voting options to ensure that your shares are represented at the Annual Meeting. You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted by following the procedures described in the accompanying Proxy Statement.

Stockholders of record at the close of business on March 16, 2015 are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof.

By Order of the Board of Directors,



Steven E. Groom
Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

April 2, 2015
Nashville, Tennessee

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE STOCKHOLDER MEETING TO BE HELD ON THURSDAY, MAY 14, 2015	1
INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING	2
What matters will be acted on at the Annual Meeting?	2
Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?	2
What do I need to attend the Annual Meeting?	2
What are the Board of Directors' recommendations?	2
Why did I receive a Notice in the mail instead of a full set of printed proxy materials?	3
How do I vote?	3
What are broker non-votes?	3
What vote is required to approve each proposal?	4
Where can I find the voting results?	4
How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal for the Company's 2016 Annual Meeting?	4
How can I obtain the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K?	5
What are the costs of soliciting these proxies?	5
How many copies should I receive if I share an address with another stockholder?	5
Whom should I contact if I have any questions?	5
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	6
Director Independence	6
Separation of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	6
Executive Sessions	6
Board of Directors Meetings and Committees	7
Limitations on Other Board Service	10
Communications with Directors	10
Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	10
Stock Ownership Guidelines	11
No Hedging and Pledging Permitted	11
Code of Ethics and Business Conduct	11
Risk Oversight	12
Compensation Risk Assessment	13
PROPOSAL 1 - ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	14
Nominees Standing for Election	14
PROPOSAL 2 - RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	19
AUDIT MATTERS	20
Audit and Non-Audit Fees	20
Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Fees	20
Report of the Audit and Risk Committee	20
PROPOSAL 3 - ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE THE COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	22

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	24
EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	26
Compensation Discussion and Analysis.....	26
Report of the Compensation Committee	39
Summary Compensation Table	40
Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2014	42
Employment and Transition Agreements	43
Outstanding Equity Awards at 2014 Fiscal Year-End.....	44
Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2014	45
Nonqualified Deferred Compensation in 2014.....	46
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.....	47
Table of Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.....	48
Director Compensation	50
2014 Director Compensation Table.....	51
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	54
Ownership of Common Stock – Directors and Executive Officers	54
Ownership of Common Stock – Principal Stockholders	55
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance.....	56
OTHER	57
No Incorporation by Reference.....	57
Forward-Looking Statements.....	57

CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA

PROXY STATEMENT FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON THURSDAY, MAY 14, 2015

We are providing this Proxy Statement in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors, or the Board, of Corrections Corporation of America, a Maryland corporation (the "Company," "CCA," "we" or "us"), of proxies to be voted at our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and any adjournment or postponement of the meeting (the "Annual Meeting").

On or about April 3, 2015, a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") will be mailed to our stockholders as of the record date containing instructions on how to access this Proxy Statement, and the Annual Report to Stockholders (including our Letter to Stockholders and 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K) and other proxy materials online, and how to vote. If you prefer to receive the proxy materials in the mail and to vote by mail, the Notice also contains instructions on how to request a printed copy. You will not receive printed copies of the proxy materials in the mail unless you specifically request them.

The Annual Meeting will take place on Thursday, May 14, 2015, at 10:00 a.m., local time, at our corporate headquarters, 10 Burton Hills Boulevard, Nashville, Tennessee. All stockholders who are entitled to vote at the meeting are invited to attend. Seating at the Annual Meeting is limited and will be available on a first come, first served basis. All stockholders of record will need to present an admission ticket and a form of personal photo identification in order to be admitted to the Annual Meeting. Instructions on how stockholders can request an admission ticket are provided on page 2 of the Proxy Statement under the heading "What do I need to attend the Annual Meeting?". The Notice provides proof of ownership or, if your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other holder of record, you may bring a brokerage statement dated on or after March 16, 2015 as proof of ownership with you to the Annual Meeting. To obtain directions to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person, please contact Cameron Hopewell, our Managing Director, Investor Relations, at 10 Burton Hills Boulevard, Nashville, Tennessee 37215, (615) 263-3000.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE STOCKHOLDER MEETING TO BE HELD ON THURSDAY, MAY 14, 2015.

The Company's Proxy Statement and Annual Report to Stockholders (including our Letter to Stockholders and 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K) are available on our website at www.cca.com. Additionally, and in accordance with SEC rules, you may access our proxy materials at <http://materials.proxyvote.com/22025Y>.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

What matters will be acted on at the Annual Meeting?

Stockholders are asked to consider and vote on the following matters at the Annual Meeting:

- Proposal 1. The election of 12 nominees named in this Proxy Statement to our Board of Directors.
- Proposal 2. The ratification of the appointment by our Audit and Risk Committee of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015.
- Proposal 3. An advisory vote to approve the compensation paid to our named executive officers.
- Proposal 4. Such other matters as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

As of the date of this Proxy Statement, we are not aware of any other matters that will be presented for action at the Annual Meeting.

Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

Stockholders of record of our common stock at the close of business on the “record date” are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on March 16, 2015 as the record date.

As of the record date, there were 116,927,005 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote. Holders of common stock are entitled to one vote for each share of common stock held as of the record date on each matter to be voted on at the Annual Meeting.

What do I need to attend the Annual Meeting?

If you wish to attend the Annual Meeting, you must be a stockholder as of the March 16, 2015 record date. You must request an admission ticket in advance by visiting www.proxyvote.com and following the instructions provided (you will need the 12 digit control number included on your proxy card, voter instruction form or Notice). Tickets will be issued only to registered and beneficial owners. Stockholders who own shares as joint-tenants will be issued one ticket with both names on the ticket.

Requests for admission tickets will be processed in the order in which they are received and must be requested no later than May 13, 2015. Please note that seating is limited and requests for tickets will be accepted on a first-come, first-served basis. On the day of the Annual Meeting, each stockholder will be required to present valid picture identification such as a driver’s license or passport with their admission ticket. Seating will begin at 9:15 a.m. local time and the Annual Meeting will begin at 10:00 a.m. local time.

Please note that cameras (including cell phones with photographic or video capabilities), recording devices and other electronic devices will not be permitted at the meeting.

What are the Board of Directors’ recommendations?

Our Board of Directors recommends that you vote:

- **FOR** the election of each of the 12 nominees to serve as directors on our Board of Directors.
- **FOR** the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP.
- **FOR** the approval, by a non-binding advisory vote, of the compensation paid to our named executive officers.

If you submit a signed proxy card or submit your proxy by telephone or internet and do not specify how you want your shares voted, the proxy holder will vote your shares with the recommendations of the Board of Directors set forth above. Further, if any other matter properly comes before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof, the proxy holders will vote as recommended by the Board of Directors or, if no recommendation is given, in their own discretion.

Why did I receive a Notice in the mail instead of a full set of printed proxy materials?

Pursuant to rules adopted by the SEC, we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials over the internet. Accordingly, we are sending a Notice regarding the internet availability of the proxy materials to most of our stockholders of record and beneficial owners. All stockholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials on the website referred to in the Notice or to request to receive a printed set of proxy materials. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the internet or to request a printed copy may be found in the Notice. In addition, stockholders may request receipt of proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by e-mail on an ongoing basis by following instructions set forth in the Notice.

How do I vote?

You can vote either in person by attending the Annual Meeting or by proxy without attending the Annual Meeting.

If you are a record holder, you can submit your vote by proxy in any of the following ways:

- vote by internet (instructions are in the Notice you received in the mail or are on the proxy card);
- vote by toll-free telephone (instructions are on the proxy card); or
- if you requested and received printed copies of this Proxy Statement and Annual Report to Stockholders (including our Letter to Stockholders and 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K) and other proxy materials, fill out the proxy card enclosed with the materials, date and sign it, and return it in the accompanying postage-paid envelope.

If a bank, broker or other nominee was the record holder of your stock on the record date, you will be able to instruct your bank, broker or other nominee on how to vote by following the instructions on the voting instruction form or Notice that you receive from your bank, broker or other nominee. If you wish to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you will need to present a valid proxy from your broker, bank or other nominee authorizing you to vote your shares at the Annual Meeting.

As a record holder, if you submit voting instructions by telephone or by the internet, you may change your vote by following the same instructions used in originally voting your shares. If your shares are held in the name of a broker, bank, trust or other nominee, you may change your voting instructions by following the instructions of your broker, bank, trust or other nominee. Attendance at the meeting will not by itself revoke a previously granted proxy.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person, we urge you to submit your voting instructions to the proxy holders as soon as possible.

What are broker non-votes?

A “broker non-vote” occurs when a broker or nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner and the nominee does not have discretionary authority to vote the shares. Brokers and other nominees do not have discretionary authority to vote on the election of directors to serve on our Board (Proposal 1) or the advisory vote to approve our executive compensation (Proposal 3). Thus, if you hold your shares in street name and do not provide voting instructions to your broker or other nominee on these proposals, your shares will be considered to be broker non-votes and will not be voted on such proposal. Shares that constitute broker non-votes will be counted as present at the Annual Meeting for the purpose of determining a quorum, but will not be considered entitled to vote on the proposal in question. Brokers and nominees generally have discretionary authority to vote on Proposal 2, the ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accountants.

What vote is required to approve each proposal?

Quorum Requirement. The presence, in person or by proxy, of the Company's stockholders entitled to cast a majority of the votes entitled to be cast at the Annual Meeting is necessary to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be treated as shares present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum. Failure of a quorum to be represented at the Annual Meeting will necessitate an adjournment or postponement and will subject the Company to additional expense.

Election of Directors. Under the Company's Sixth Amended and Restated Bylaws (the "Bylaws"), adopted by the Board in August 2012, a majority of all of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required for the election of each nominee in an uncontested election of directors. A majority of votes cast means the number of shares cast "for" a nominee's election exceeds the number of votes cast "against" that nominee. Brokers do not have discretionary authority to vote on the election of directors. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the vote of the election of directors as they are not considered votes cast.

Director nominees in contested elections will continue to be elected by plurality vote. An election will be considered contested if conducted at a meeting at which a stockholder has nominated an individual for election for director in compliance with the advance notice requirements set forth in the Bylaws, and such stockholder nomination has not been withdrawn on or prior to the tenth day preceding the date the Company first mails its notice of such meeting to the stockholders.

If a director nominee is an incumbent director and does not receive a majority of the votes cast in an uncontested election, that director will continue to serve on the Board as a "holdover" director, but must tender his or her resignation to the Board promptly after certification of the election results of the stockholder vote. The Nominating and Governance Committee of the Board will then recommend to the Board whether to accept the resignation or whether other action should be taken. The Board will act on the tendered resignation, taking into account the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee, and the Board's decision will be publicly disclosed within 90 days after certification of the election results of the stockholder vote. A director who tenders his or her resignation after failing to receive a majority of the votes cast will not participate in the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee or the decision of the Board with respect to his or her resignation.

Ratification of Ernst & Young LLP. The affirmative vote of a majority of votes cast is required to approve the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015. If the Company's stockholders do not ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP, the Audit and Risk Committee will reconsider the appointment and may affirm the appointment or retain another independent accounting firm. If the appointment is ratified, the Audit and Risk Committee may in the future replace Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm if it is in the Company's best interest to do so. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as "for" or "against" the ratification and thus will have no effect on the proposal. Because brokers have discretionary authority to vote on the ratification of auditor, we do not expect any broker non-votes in connection with this proposal.

Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation. The affirmative vote of a majority of votes cast is required to approve the non-binding advisory vote of compensation paid to our named executive officers. Because your vote is advisory, it will not be binding on the Board of Directors or the Company. However, the Board of Directors will review the voting results and take them into consideration when making future decisions regarding executive compensation paid by the Company to its named executive officers. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as "for" or "against" the approval of our advisory vote on executive compensation and thus will have no effect on this proposal.

Where can I find the voting results?

We will announce the voting results at the Annual Meeting. We also will report the voting results on a Form 8-K, which we expect to file with the SEC within four business days after the Annual Meeting has been held.

How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal for the Company's 2016 Annual Meeting?

Our annual meeting of stockholders generally is held in May of each year. Consistent with applicable SEC rules, we will consider for inclusion in our proxy materials for next year's annual meeting stockholder proposals that are received at our executive offices no later than December 4, 2015 and that comply with other SEC rules regarding form

and content. Proposals must be sent to the following address: CCA, Attention: Secretary, 10 Burton Hills Boulevard, Nashville, Tennessee 37215.

Other stockholder proposals may be raised at next year's annual meeting (but not considered for inclusion in our proxy materials) if timely received and otherwise in compliance with the advance notice provisions of our Bylaws. In order to be timely, notice must be received at our executive offices (the address listed above) between February 14, 2016 and March 15, 2016.

How can I obtain the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K?

Any stockholder who desires a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, as filed with the SEC, may obtain a copy without charge by visiting our website, www.cca.com. A copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K can also be obtained, free of charge, upon written request to the Managing Director of Investor Relations, Corrections Corporation of America, 10 Burton Hills Boulevard, Nashville, Tennessee 37215.

What are the costs of soliciting these proxies?

The Company pays the cost of soliciting proxies. Solicitation initially will be made by mail. Forms of proxies and proxy materials may also be distributed through brokers, custodians and other like parties to the beneficial owners of shares of our common stock, in which case we will reimburse these parties for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. Proxies may also be solicited personally or by telephone or fax by directors, officers and employees of the Company. No additional compensation will be paid for these services.

How many copies should I receive if I share an address with another stockholder?

The SEC has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries such as brokers to satisfy delivery requirements for proxy statements and annual reports with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a single Notice and, to the extent requested, single set of proxy materials addressed to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as "householding," potentially provides extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies. The Company and some brokers household proxy materials unless contrary instructions have been received from the affected stockholders. Once you have received notice from your broker or us that they or we will be householding materials to your address, householding will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. If at any time you no longer wish to participate in householding and would prefer to receive a separate Notice or, to the extent requested, set of proxy materials, or if you are receiving multiple copies of proxy materials and wish to receive only one, please notify your broker if your shares are held in a brokerage account or our transfer agent, identified below, if you hold registered shares. You can also notify us by sending a written request to CCA, Attention: Cameron Hopewell, 10 Burton Hills Boulevard, Nashville, Tennessee 37215, or by calling Cameron Hopewell at (615) 263-3000.

Whom should I contact if I have any questions?

If you have any questions about the Annual Meeting or these proxy materials, please contact Cameron Hopewell, our Managing Director of Investor Relations, at 10 Burton Hills Boulevard, Nashville, Tennessee 37215, (615) 263-3000. If you are a registered stockholder and have any questions about your ownership of our common stock, please contact our transfer agent, the American Stock Transfer and Trust Company, at 6201 15th Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11219, (800) 937-5449, or Cameron Hopewell at the address and phone number above. If your shares are held in a brokerage account, please contact your broker.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

We believe that effective corporate governance is important to our long-term health and our ability to create value for our stockholders. With leadership from our Nominating and Governance Committee, our Board of Directors regularly evaluates regulatory developments and trends in corporate governance to determine whether our policies and practices in this area should be enhanced. The Nominating and Governance Committee also administers an annual self-evaluation process for the Board of Directors and its standing committees. In addition, our directors are encouraged to attend director education programs, which are reimbursed by the Company.

You can access our current corporate charter, Bylaws, Corporate Governance Guidelines, Board committee charters, Code of Ethics and Business Conduct and certain other corporate governance information on our website, www.cca.com (under the “Corporate Governance” section of the Investors page).

Director Independence

Mr. Ferguson and Mr. Hininger are the only members of the Board of Directors who currently are employed by the Company and are thus not independent. The Board has determined that all of our other directors are independent. Accordingly, 10 of our 12 director nominees and current directors are independent and our Audit and Risk, Compensation and Nominating and Governance Committees are composed entirely of independent directors. In making its independence determinations, the Board used the standards for director independence set forth in the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) corporate governance listing standards (Section 303A) and, with respect to Audit and Risk Committee members, Section 10A(m)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Separation of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

We do not have a formal policy regarding the separation of our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) positions. In general, the Board of Directors believes that the determination depends on the circumstances, including the Board of Directors’ evaluation of the person or persons available to serve in those positions and the needs of the Company at a particular time.

Pursuant to our Bylaws, the Chairman presides over meetings of the Board of Directors and meetings of the stockholders at which he is present and has general oversight responsibility for our business and affairs. The CEO has responsibility for implementation of the policies of the Company, as determined by the Board of Directors, and for the administration of our business affairs. The CEO also has responsibility for presiding over any meeting of the Board of Directors or of the stockholders at which the Chairman is not present.

Since October 2009, the roles of Chairman and CEO have been held separately. John D. Ferguson serves as executive Chairman of the Board of Directors and is an employee of the Company, while Damon T. Hininger serves as President and CEO. Prior to October 2009, Mr. Ferguson served as our CEO.

The Board of Directors believes that the Company’s current leadership structure is appropriate. Having Mr. Hininger serve as President and CEO, while retaining Mr. Ferguson as Chairman, helps us achieve important objectives. Mr. Hininger is positioned to fully focus his energies on implementing our business strategy and administering our day-to-day affairs. Mr. Ferguson is positioned to draw on his relationships with existing Board members and his past experience as President and CEO to effectively discharge the duties of Chairman, while also serving as a resource to Mr. Hininger. In February 2015, Mr. Ferguson notified the Board that he expects to retire from the Board in 2016 and not stand for re-election at the 2016 annual meeting of the Company’s stockholders. Over the course of the year, the Board will consider who should succeed Mr. Ferguson as Chairman of the Board.

Executive Sessions

Executive sessions, or meetings of our non-management directors without management present, are held periodically in order to provide an opportunity for the outside directors to discuss openly any and all matters. During 2014, the outside directors met in executive session three (3) times. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that Executive sessions are called and chaired by an independent director appointed from time to time by the Nominating and Governance Committee. Charles L. Overby currently serves as the Executive session chair.

Board of Directors Meetings and Committees

Our Board of Directors is responsible for establishing the Company's broad corporate policies and strategic objectives, reviewing our overall performance and overseeing management's performance. Among other things, the Board of Directors selects and evaluates our executive officers, establishes, reviews and approves our corporate objectives and strategies and evaluates and approves major capital commitments.

The Board of Directors currently consists of 12 members, all of whom are standing for re-election and are identified, along with their biographical information, under "Proposal 1 - Election of Directors."

The Board of Directors met four times in 2014. Each director attended at least 75% of the total number of meetings of the Board of Directors and of the meetings held by all board committees on which such director served. The Board of Directors has adopted as its policy that directors are strongly encouraged to attend each annual meeting of stockholders. All of the directors attended last year's annual meeting of stockholders.

Our Board of Directors has four regularly standing committees: the Audit and Risk, Compensation, Nominating and Governance and Executive Committees. Each committee has a written charter that has been approved by the committee and the Board of Directors and that is reviewed at least annually. The table below shows the current composition of each of our regularly standing Board committees, together with a summary of each committee's responsibilities and the number of meetings each committee held in 2014. The Board of Directors and its committees also act by unanimous written consent and the Board of Directors appoints and delegates certain duties to special committees of the Board from time to time as permitted by the Bylaws of the Company. A more complete description of the standing committees follows the table.

Committee	Members	Summary of Responsibilities	2014 Meetings
Audit and Risk	C. Michael Jacobi (Chair) Donna M. Alvarado Anne L. Mariucci Charles L. Overby John R. Prann, Jr.*	Responsibilities include oversight of the integrity of our financial statements; our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; our risk assessment and risk management practices; and the hiring, qualifications, independence and performance of our independent registered public accountants and our internal audit function.	5
Compensation	Joseph V. Russell (Chair) John D. Correnti Mark A. Emkes ** John R. Prann, Jr. Robert J. Dennis <i>John R. Horne</i> ***	Responsibilities include setting executive officer compensation and overseeing the evaluation of the executive officers' performance, and periodically reviewing and approving the Company's compensation philosophy regarding executive compensation.	4
Nominating and Governance	Charles L. Overby (Chair) Donna M. Alvarado **** Mark A. Emkes ** Thurgood Marshall, Jr. Joseph V. Russell <i>Dennis W. DeConcini</i> ***	Responsibilities include identifying and recommending director nominees to the full Board and taking a leadership role in shaping and evaluating the Board's corporate governance initiatives.	4
Executive	John D. Ferguson (Chair) Robert J. Dennis ***** Damon T. Hinger Joseph V. Russell <i>William F. Andrews</i> ***	When necessary, and subject to authority limitations with respect to significant corporate actions, responsible for acting on behalf of the full Board during intervals between Board meetings.	-

* Mr. Prann joined the Audit and Risk Committee in December 2014.

** Mr. Emkes joined our Board of Directors, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Governance Committee in August 2014.

*** Former Director; did not stand for re-election at the 2014 Annual Meeting and is no longer a member of the committee.

**** Miss Alvarado joined the Nominating and Governance Committee in December 2014.

***** Mr. Dennis joined the Executive Committee in August 2014.

Audit and Risk Committee

Our Audit and Risk Committee is charged with:

- overseeing the integrity of our financial statements;
- reviewing the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting;
- overseeing our compliance with legal, financial and regulatory requirements;
- overseeing the Company's risk assessment and risk management practices;
- supervising our relationship with our independent registered public accounting firm, including making decisions with respect to the appointment or removal, fees, scope of audit services, approval of audit and non-audit services and annual evaluation of the audit firm's independence;
- monitoring preparation by our management of quarterly and annual financial reports and interim earnings releases and the performance of our internal audit function;
- reviewing of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations prior to the filing of our periodic reports with the SEC;
- overseeing management's implementation and maintenance of effective systems of internal accounting and disclosure controls, including review of our internal auditing program;
- overseeing and making determinations with respect to our Related Party Transaction policy; and
- issuing the Audit and Risk Committee Report in this proxy.

The Board has determined that each member of the Audit and Risk Committee is independent as defined by the standards of the NYSE and Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Board also has determined that each member is "financially literate" as defined by the rules of the NYSE and that Mr. Jacobi qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert" as defined in Item 407(d) of Regulation S-K under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The full text of the Audit and Risk Committee charter is available on the Company's website at www.cca.com (under the "Corporate Governance" section of the Investors page). The Audit and Risk Committee was formerly known as the Audit Committee. In December 2014, as a result of its regular evaluation of the responsibilities of its committees, our Board amended the responsibilities of the committee in its charter to refine and confirm its leadership role in oversight of our risk assessment and risk management practices and renamed the committee the Audit and Risk Committee to reflect these changes.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee approves the compensation of our CEO and other executive officers, including annually reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to their compensation. The Compensation Committee is responsible for ensuring that our compensation programs are designed to encourage high performance, promote accountability and adherence to Company values and align with the interests of our stockholders. The Compensation Committee responsibilities include administration of cash and equity-based incentive compensation plans and stock ownership guidelines, evaluation of the performance of the executive officers and assessment of the material risks of our compensation programs. The Compensation Committee is also responsible for reviewing, and making recommendations to the Board regarding, the compensation of our Board of Directors.

The Board has determined that each member of the Compensation Committee is independent as defined by the standards of the NYSE. We intend that each member also qualifies as an "outside director" within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code and as "non-employee director" within the meaning of the SEC's Rule 16b-3. The full text of the Compensation Committee charter is available on the Company's website at www.cca.com (under the "Corporate Governance" section of the Investors page).

The Compensation Committee has retained PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, or PwC, as its independent compensation consultant since 2000, to provide the committee with advice and guidance on the design and market competitiveness of the Company's executive compensation programs. PwC works directly with the chair of the Compensation Committee and as directed by the chair of the Compensation Committee, with our Chief Executive Officer. During 2013, PwC provided valuation services to the Company in connection with the Company's REIT conversion and the valuation of Correctional Alternatives, Inc. (a private company acquired by the Company in July 2013), for an aggregate amount of fees paid for such services equal to approximately \$277,000. In 2014, PwC was retained to provide certain valuation services, for an aggregate amount of fees paid for such services equal to approximately \$130,000. The decision to hire PwC for these other services was made by management, based on PwC's experience and familiarity with the Company. Each year the Compensation Committee reviews the independence of the compensation consultants and other advisors who provide advice to the Compensation Committee, employing the independence factors specified

in the NYSE listing standards. In its annual review of the independence of PwC in 2013 and 2014, the Compensation Committee reviewed management's retention of PwC for the other services. The Compensation Committee has determined that PwC is independent within the meaning of the NYSE listing standards, and the work of PwC does not raise any conflicts of interest. In 2014, PwC assisted the Compensation Committee providing the following compensation consulting services:

- conducted a competitive compensation analysis of executives at the Chief Executive Officer, Executive Vice President and Senior Vice President levels;
- conducted analysis of our financial performance and executive compensation relative to our peer group; and
- proposed an updated executive compensation structure for 2014.

Nominating and Governance Committee

The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for developing and overseeing the Board's Corporate Governance Guidelines and a code of conduct applicable to members of the Board and for monitoring the independence of the Board. The Nominating and Governance Committee also determines Board membership qualifications, selects, evaluates and recommends to the Board nominees to fill vacancies as they arise, reviews the performance of the Board and its committees and is responsible for director education. Other responsibilities include reviewing the Company's ethics and compliance program, oversight of the Board's self-evaluation process and leading the Board's executive succession planning efforts. The Board has determined that each member of the Nominating and Governance Committee is independent as defined by the standards of the NYSE. The full text of the Nominating and Governance Committee charter is available on the Company's website at www.cca.com (under the "Corporate Governance" section of the Investors page).

Director Candidates

The Nominating and Governance Committee may utilize a variety of methods for identifying nominees for director. Candidates may come to the attention of the Nominating and Governance Committee through current Board members, stockholders, members of management, director search firms and other persons. A stockholder who wishes to recommend a prospective nominee for the Board should notify our Secretary in writing, along with any supporting material the stockholder considers appropriate, in accordance with the stockholder proposal provisions of our Bylaws. General information concerning the submission of stockholder proposals is provided above under the caption "How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal for the Company's 2016 Annual Meeting?" Pursuant to Board policy, there are to be no differences in the manner in which the Committee evaluates candidates based on the source of the recommendation.

The Nominating and Governance Committee is authorized by the Board to identify director candidates, evaluate and consider candidates proposed by any director, member of management or stockholder, develop and implement screening processes it deems necessary and appropriate and recommend for selection by the Board director nominees for each annual meeting of stockholders and, when necessary, vacancies on the Board. The Committee is authorized by the Board to exercise sole authority in retaining any third-party search firm the Committee deems appropriate to identify and assist with the evaluation of director candidates and has utilized that authority in past director searches.

The Nominating and Governance Committee evaluates prospective nominees against the criteria in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, which include professional integrity and sound judgment, sufficient time available to devote to Board activities, a general understanding of marketing, finance and other elements relevant to the success of a publicly-traded company in today's business environment, an understanding of our business and factors such as diversity, age, skills and educational and professional background. With respect to diversity, the Committee considers diversity in terms of age, gender and ethnicity, as well as diversity of skills, expertise and experience, in its deliberations.

The Nominating and Governance Committee may also consider other factors it deems relevant, including the current composition of the Board in terms of independence, expertise, experience and special knowledge required for the effective discharge of Board responsibilities, whether there is a need to fill vacancies or expand or contract the size of the Board, the balance of management and independent directors, the structure, membership and need for expertise on our standing committees and the qualifications of other prospective nominees. Nominees are not discriminated against on the basis of race, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability or any other basis proscribed by law.

With respect to determining whether current directors should stand for re-election, the Nominating and Governance Committee also considers the director's past attendance at meetings and participation in and contributions to the activities of the Board and the Company. With respect to new candidates for Board service, a full evaluation may also include detailed background checks and in-person and telephonic interviews with the Nominating and Governance Committee and other Board members. The Committee evaluation process culminates with a decision as to whether or not to recommend the prospective nominee to the full Board for appointment and/or nomination.

Executive Committee

The Executive Committee is charged with acting on behalf of the full Board when necessary and subject to authority limitations with respect to the transaction of routine, administrative matters that occur between regularly scheduled Board meetings. The full text of the Executive Committee charter is available on the Company's website at www.cca.com (under the "Corporate Governance" section of the Investors page).

Limitations on Other Board Service

The Audit and Risk Committee charter provides that a member of the Audit and Risk Committee may not serve on the audit committee of more than two other public companies without Board approval. Otherwise, we do not believe that our directors should be categorically prohibited from serving on boards and/or board committees of other organizations. However, our Corporate Governance Guidelines instruct the Nominating and Governance Committee and the full Board to take into account the nature of and time involved with respect to a director's service on other boards as well as other job responsibilities in evaluating the suitability of individual directors and in making its recommendations to our stockholders. Service on boards and/or committees of other organizations must also be consistent with our conflicts of interest policy, as set forth in our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, which, among other things, requires a director to provide notice to the Board of his or her acceptance of a nomination to serve on the board of another public company.

Communications with Directors

Stockholders, employees and other interested parties may communicate with members of our Board of Directors (including specific members of the Board or non-management directors as a group) by writing to CCA, Attention: Secretary, 10 Burton Hills Boulevard, Nashville, Tennessee 37215. To the extent such communications are received, our Secretary compiles all substantive communications and periodically submits them to the Board, the group of directors or the individual directors to whom they are addressed. Communications that the Secretary would not consider "substantive," and therefore may exercise discretion in submitting to the addressee, may include junk mail, mass mailings, resumes and job inquiries, surveys, business solicitations, advertisements, frivolous communications and other similarly unsuitable communications.

Communications expressing concerns or complaints relating to accounting, internal controls or auditing matters are handled in accordance with procedures established by the Audit and Risk Committee. Under those procedures, concerns that are improperly characterized as having to do with accounting, internal controls or auditing matters or that are frivolous or clearly inconsequential may be addressed by the Secretary without presentation to the Audit and Risk Committee. However, in all cases the Secretary maintains a log of correspondence addressed to directors that may be reviewed by any director at his or her request.

Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions

Since the beginning of the last fiscal year, we are aware of no related party transactions between us and any of our directors, executive officers, 5% stockholders or their family members which require disclosure under Item 404 of Regulation S-K under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Pursuant to its written charter, the Audit and Risk Committee has adopted a Related Party Transaction Policy that, subject to certain exceptions, requires the Audit and Risk Committee (or the chair of the Audit and Risk Committee in certain instances) to review and either ratify, approve or disapprove all "Interested Transactions," which are generally defined to include any transaction, arrangement or relationship or series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships (including any indebtedness or guarantee of indebtedness) in which:

- the aggregate amount involved exceeded, or will or may be expected to exceed, \$120,000 in any calendar year;
- the Company was, is or will be a participant; and

- any Related Party had, has or will have a direct or indirect interest.

For purposes of the policy, a “Related Party” is any:

- person who is or was (since the beginning of the last fiscal year for which the Company has filed a Form 10-K and proxy statement, even if they do not presently serve in that role) an executive officer, director or nominee for election as a director;
- greater than 5% beneficial owner of the Company’s common stock;
- immediate family member of any of the foregoing; or
- firm, corporation or other entity in which any of the foregoing persons is employed or is a general partner, managing member or principal or in a similar position or in which such person has a 10% or greater beneficial ownership interest.

In determining whether to approve or ratify an Interested Transaction under the policy, the Audit and Risk Committee is to consider all relevant information and facts available to it regarding the Interested Transaction and take into account factors such as the Related Party’s relationship to the Company and interest (direct or indirect) in the transaction, the terms of the transaction and the benefits to the Company of the transaction. No director is to participate in the approval of an Interested Transaction for which he or she is a Related Party or otherwise has a direct or indirect interest.

In addition, the Audit and Risk Committee is to review and assess ongoing Interested Transactions, if any, on at least an annual basis to determine whether any such transactions remain appropriate or should be modified or terminated.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Since 2007, we have maintained stock ownership guidelines (the “Guidelines”) for our executive officers and directors because we believe it is important to align the interests of our management and Board with the interests of our stockholders. Under the Guidelines, our executive officers are expected to own a fixed number of shares of Company common stock equal to three times such executive officer’s base salary in effect as of the date of their hiring or promotion, divided by the Company’s closing common stock price on such date. Our non-executive directors are expected to own a fixed number of shares of Company common stock equal to four times their annual Board retainer (excluding any retainer for chairing or serving on a committee) in effect on March 1, 2007 or on the date of their later initial election or appointment to the Board, divided by the Company’s closing common stock price on such date. Executive officers and directors serving on the Board at the time these Guidelines were adopted were required to achieve these ownership levels by March 1, 2012 and all others have until five years following their initial hiring or promotion as an executive officer, or election or appointment to the Board, as applicable, to satisfy the Guidelines. The Guidelines are further discussed under “Stock Ownership Guidelines” in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and the Director Compensation sections of this proxy and are accessible on our website, www.cca.com (under the “Corporate Governance” section of the Investors page).

No Hedging or Pledging Permitted

Our insider trading guidelines include provisions that prohibit members of our Board, executive officers, and other officers and employees from engaging in hedging or pledging transactions involving Company securities. None of the members of our Board or our executive officers are engaged in any hedging or pledging transactions involving Company securities.

Code of Ethics and Business Conduct

All of our directors and employees, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and principal accounting officer, are subject to our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct. Our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct and related compliance policies are designed to promote an environment in which integrity is valued, business is conducted in a legal and ethical manner and ethics and compliance issues are raised and addressed. Our Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for reviewing the Code annually and our Audit and Risk Committee is responsible for addressing any violations or waivers involving our executive officers and directors. We intend to post amendments to or waivers from our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct (to the extent applicable to our directors, chief executive officer, principal financial officer or principal accounting officer) on our website. Our Code of Ethics and

Business Conduct is accessible on our website, www.cca.com (under the “Corporate Governance” section of the Investors page).

Risk Oversight

Our Board of Directors oversees risk management with a focus on the Company’s primary areas of risk: risk related to our business strategy, financial risk, legal/compliance (including REIT-compliance) risk and operational risk (including contract compliance). Our President and Chief Executive Officer and each of our Executive Vice Presidents are responsible for managing risk in their respective areas of authority and expertise, identifying key risks to the Board and explaining to the Board how those risks are being addressed.

In order to streamline and enhance risk management on a company-wide basis and assist the Board’s oversight of our risk management processes, we have implemented an enterprise risk management (“ERM”) program. The ERM program entails the identification, prioritization and assessment of a broad range of risks (e.g., financial, operational, business, reputational, governance and managerial), and the formulation of plans to manage these risks or mitigate their effects in an integrated effort involving the Board, relevant Board committees, management and other personnel. The ERM program is led by our General Counsel and is a component of management’s strategic planning process and is overseen by the Audit and Risk Committee with periodic reports to the full Board.

In December 2014, as a result of its regular evaluation of the responsibilities of its committees, our Board renamed the Audit Committee the “Audit and Risk Committee” and amended the responsibilities of the committee in its charter to refine and confirm its leadership role on behalf of the Board in oversight of our risk assessment and risk management practices. As noted above, the Audit and Risk Committee assists the Board with oversight of the ERM process. In addition to its general risk management and risk oversight role, the Audit and Risk Committee assists the Board with oversight of our financial, legal and regulatory risks, including risks related to our compliance with laws and regulations, including those applicable to REITs, as well as contract compliance (particularly contracts with government entities).

The full Board maintains an ongoing, direct role in risk oversight through, among other things, regular reports from the Chair of the Audit and Risk Committee, regular reports from our General Counsel on the ERM process and oversight of management’s strategic planning process, which includes an evaluation of opportunities and risks presented by the Company’s current strategies and alternative strategies. The Board also receives regular reports from each of the executives with respect to their areas of managerial responsibility. These reports include information concerning risks and risk mitigation strategies. For example, the Board receives quarterly reports from our Chief Corrections Officer with respect to key areas of operational risk; monitors risks relating to our partnership development efforts through quarterly reports from our Chief Development Officer; and receives regular reports from our General Counsel with respect to legal and compliance risks. In addition, the Board evaluates risk in the context of particular business strategies and transactions. For example, the Board monitors significant capital expenditures through its annual budget review and quarterly capital expenditure reports from management and monitors risk relating to our financing activities through in depth reviews of proposed financing transactions.

In addition to the Audit and Risk Committee, other standing committees of the Board also have responsibility for risk oversight within their areas of oversight. The Audit and Risk Committee focuses on financial risk, including fraud risk and risks relating to our internal controls over financial reporting. It receives an annual risk assessment report from the Company’s internal auditors, as well as financial risk assessment information in connection with particular events or transactions. The Nominating and Governance Committee assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibility with respect to organizational ethics and compliance and receives regular reports from the Company’s General Counsel and its Ethics Officer. The Audit and Risk Committee and the Nominating and Governance Committee each provide certain legal and regulatory risk management oversight. As discussed in detail below, the Compensation Committee addresses risks relating to our executive compensation strategies. The full Board receives regular reports from the chairs of these committees and receives reports and other meeting materials provided to each of the committees.

Compensation Risk Assessment

In setting compensation, our Compensation Committee considers the achievement of CCA's goals that may be inherent in the compensation program as well as the risks to CCA's stockholders. Although a significant portion of our executives' compensation is performance-based and "at-risk," the Compensation Committee believes our executive compensation plans are appropriately structured and do not pose a material risk to CCA. The Compensation Committee considered the following elements of our executive compensation plans and policies when evaluating whether such plans and policies encourage our executives to take unreasonable risks:

- We set performance goals that we believe are reasonable, but uncertain, in light of past performance and current market and economic conditions.
- We use restricted stock units for equity awards because restricted stock units retain value even in a depressed market.
- The performance-based vesting over multiple years for our long-term incentive awards promotes the alignment of our executives' interests with those of our stockholders for the long-term performance of CCA.
- Assuming achievement of at least a minimum level of performance, payouts under our performance-based plans result in some compensation at levels below full target achievement, rather than an "all-or-nothing" approach.
- Our executive stock ownership policy requires our executives to hold certain levels of CCA stock, which aligns an appropriate portion of their personal wealth to the long-term performance of CCA.

PROPOSAL 1 - ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The current term of office of each of our directors expires at the Annual Meeting. We currently have 12 directors. All current members of the Board of Directors are standing for re-election at the Annual Meeting. Former directors William F. Andrews, Dennis W. DeConcini and John R. Horne did not stand for re-election at the 2014 Annual Meeting in keeping with the retirement guidelines set out in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines. In August 2014, based on the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee, Mark A. Emkes was appointed by the Board of Directors to serve as a director. Our CEO, Damon T. Hininger, first recommended Mr. Emkes to be considered as a director.

Based on the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee, the Board of Directors has nominated the following 12 nominees, all of whom are currently serving as directors, for re-election for a new term to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. We expect each of the 12 nominees to serve if elected. If any of them becomes unavailable to serve as a director, the Board may designate a substitute nominee. In that case, the persons named as proxies will vote for the substitute nominee designated by the Board.

The general criteria considered by the Nominating and Governance Committee with respect to director nominees are discussed on page 9 under the heading "Director Candidates." Based on evaluation of those criteria, the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board believe that each of the nominees contributes relevant skills, expertise and experience to the Board and that the group of nominees collectively has the skills, expertise, experience, independence and other attributes necessary to discharge effectively the Board's oversight responsibilities on behalf of the Company's stockholders.

Nominees Standing for Election

Information regarding each of the nominees for director, including particular qualifications considered for each nominee, is set forth below. Directors' ages are given as of the date of this Proxy Statement.

JOHN D. FERGUSON

Director since 2000

Mr. Ferguson, age 69, has served as a director since August 2000 and also serves as executive Chairman of our Board and chairman of our Executive Committee. Mr. Ferguson formerly served as our Chief Executive Officer from August 2000 to October 2009 and as our President from August 2000 until July 2008. Mr. Ferguson's career in business and government includes service as the Commissioner of Finance for the State of Tennessee and as the chairman and chief executive officer of Community Bancshares, Inc., the parent corporation of The Community Bank of Germantown (Tennessee), as well as service on the State of Tennessee Board of Education and the Governor's Commission on Practical Government for the State of Tennessee. Mr. Ferguson has served as a director of various charitable and civic organizations, including the Boy Scouts of America - Middle Tennessee Council, the Nashville Public Education Foundation and the Tennessee Performing Arts Center. Mr. Ferguson graduated from Mississippi State University in 1967.

In making the decision to nominate Mr. Ferguson to serve as a director, the Nominating and Governance Committee considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, Mr. Ferguson's knowledge of the Company and its business and management team by virtue of his past service as our President and Chief Executive Officer; his demonstrated business acumen and leadership skills; his understanding of government gained through his experience in state government; and his civic and community involvement.

DAMON T. HININGER

Director since 2009

Mr. Hininger, age 45, has served as a director and our President and Chief Executive Officer since October 2009. From July 2008 until October 2009, Mr. Hininger served as our President and Chief Operating Officer. From 2007 until July 2008, Mr. Hininger served as our Senior Vice President, Federal and Local Customer Relations. Mr. Hininger joined the Company in 1992 and held several positions, including Vice President, Business Analysis and Vice President, Federal Customer Relations before being promoted to Senior Vice President. Mr. Hininger earned a bachelor's degree from Kansas State University and an M.B.A. from the Jack Massey School of Business at Belmont University. Mr. Hininger also serves on the board of directors with the United Way of Metropolitan Nashville.

In making the decision to nominate Mr. Hinger to serve as a director, the Nominating and Governance Committee considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, his current service as our President and Chief Executive Officer and his comprehensive knowledge of the Company, its business, operations and management team through his current position and past roles with the Company, including roles at the facility operations level and as Chief Operations Officer and Senior Vice President, Federal and Local Customer Relations.

DONNA M. ALVARADO

Director since 2003

Ms. Alvarado, age 66, has served as a director and member of our Audit and Risk Committee since December 2003 and member of the Nominating and Governance Committee since December 2014. Ms. Alvarado is the founder and current president of Aguila International, an international business-consulting firm that specializes in human resources and leadership development. She serves as a director and member of the audit and compensation committees of CSX Corporation, a publicly-traded provider of rail and other transportation services, and as a director and chair of the nominating and corporate governance committee of Park National Corporation, a publicly-held bank holding company. Ms. Alvarado has served as a member and as chair of the Ohio Board of Regents and has held senior management positions in government, including Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense with the U.S. Department of Defense and Director of ACTION, the federal domestic volunteer agency. Ms. Alvarado earned both a master's and a bachelor's degree in Spanish from Ohio State University, completed doctoral coursework in Latin American Literature at the University of Oklahoma and earned a postgraduate certificate in Financial Management from the Wharton School of Business at the University of Pennsylvania.

In making the decision to nominate Ms. Alvarado to serve as a director, the Nominating and Governance Committee considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, her understanding of government through her public sector experience; her experience as a public company director and member of audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees; her human resources and leadership development expertise; her civic and community involvement; and her contribution to the Board's gender and cultural diversity.

JOHN D. CORRENTI

Director since 2000

Mr. Correnti, age 68, has served as a director since December 2000 and is a member of our Compensation Committee. Mr. Correnti is the chairman and chief executive officer of Big River Steel, LLC, a steel development and operations company. Mr. Correnti previously served as chairman and chief executive officer of Steel Development Company, LLC from 2008 through 2012 and served as chief executive officer of SeverCorr, LLC from 2005 through 2008. Mr. Correnti also serves as a director of Navistar International Corporation, a publicly traded holding company of transportation related and other businesses, where he is a member of the governance committee and chair of the compensation committee. He serves as executive chairman of Silicor Materials, a private company formerly known as Calisolar, and as chairman of the board of Blue Oar Resources, a private company. Mr. Correnti holds a B.S. degree in civil engineering from Clarkson University, where he is a member of the Board of Trustees.

In making the decision to nominate Mr. Correnti to serve as a director, the Nominating and Governance Committee considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, his executive leadership experience gained through his service as a chief executive of established and start-up companies, both public and private, and his public company director experience, including service on audit, corporate governance and compensation committees.

ROBERT J. DENNIS

Director since 2013

Mr. Dennis, age 61, was appointed to the Board of Directors in February 2013 and is a member of our Compensation and Executive Committees. Mr. Dennis is the chairman, president and chief executive officer of Genesco Inc., a diversified retailer of footwear, headwear, sports apparel and accessories, where he has served in an executive capacity since 2004. A 27-year retail veteran, Mr. Dennis has held senior positions with Hat World Corporation and Asbury Automotive and was a partner and leader of the North American Retail Practice with McKinsey & Company, an international consulting firm. Mr. Dennis holds a master of business administration degree, with distinction, from the Harvard Business School, with a focus on consumer marketing, and bachelor's and master's degrees from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. Mr. Dennis is a member of the Board of Directors and a member of the Governance Committee of HCA Holdings, Inc., a leading health care services company that operates hospitals and surgery centers in the United States and London, England. Previously, he served as a member of the board of directors of Teavana Holdings, Inc., a publicly traded purveyor of high quality teas and tea products, until its acquisition by Starbucks in December 2012. He

serves on the board of directors of the United Way of Metropolitan Nashville, the Nashville Symphony, and serves on the Board of Visitors at Vanderbilt University's Owen School of Management.

In making the decision to nominate Mr. Dennis to serve as a director, the Nominating and Governance Committee considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, his leadership experience as chief executive officer of a large public company; his public company director experience; his demonstrated business acumen and his understanding of corporate finance and business development matters; and his civic and community involvement.

MARK A. EMKES

Director since 2014

Mr. Emkes, age 62, was appointed to the Board of Directors in August 2014 and is a member of our Compensation Committee and our Nominating and Governance Committee. For more than five years and until his retirement effective on February 28, 2010, Mr. Emkes was the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Bridgestone Americas, Inc. and Bridgestone Americas Holdings, Inc., the world's largest tire and rubber company. He was also President of Bridgestone Americas, Inc. from January 2009 until his retirement. Mr. Emkes served as a director of Bridgestone Corporation from April 1, 2004 through February 28, 2010. From 2010 until 2013, Mr. Emkes served as the State of Tennessee's Commissioner of Finance and Administration, a state-level cabinet position. Mr. Emkes holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in economics from Indiana's DePauw University and a master of business administration degree from the Thunderbird School of Global Management, located in Glendale, Arizona.

Mr. Emkes is on the Board of Directors of: (i) Greif, Inc. (since February 2008), where he is a member of the compensation committee, (ii) First Horizon National Corporation (since October 2008), where he is the chairman of the audit committee, and (iii) Clarcor, Inc. (since June 2010), where he is a member of the compensation and director affairs/corporate governance committees. Mr. Emkes has served for the following charitable organizations: as President of the Middle Tennessee Council of the Boy Scouts of America, on the Board of Directors of the Community Foundation of Middle Tennessee, and on the Advisory Board of Habitat for Humanity, Nashville Chapter, all are charitable organizations. Additionally, he was Chairman of Nashville's 2010 Heart Walk and is a member of CEOs Against Cancer, Tennessee Chapter. Mr. Emkes was the 2011 recipient of the Jennings A. Jones Champion of Free Enterprise Award and in October 2012 was inducted into the Nashville Business Hall of Fame.

In making the decision to nominate Mr. Emkes to serve as a director, the Nominating and Governance Committee considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, his leadership experience in various management positions, including the chief executive officer and chairman, of an international company; his demonstrated business acumen and his understanding of corporate finance and business development matters; and his civic and community involvement.

C. MICHAEL JACOBI

Director since 2000

Mr. Jacobi, age 73, has served as a director and as Chair of the Audit and Risk Committee since December 2000. Mr. Jacobi is the owner and president of Stable House, LLC, a private company engaged in residential real estate development. From June 2001 through May 2005, Mr. Jacobi served as the president and chief executive officer and a director of Katy Industries, Inc., a publicly-traded diversified manufacturing company. He is chairman of the board of Sturm, Ruger and Company, Inc., a publicly-traded maker of firearms, a director and a member of the compensation and risk committees of Webster Financial Corporation, a publicly-traded banking and financial services company, a director and member of the audit committee of Kohlberg Capital Corporation, a publicly-traded business development company specializing in term loans, mezzanine investments and selected equity positions in middle market companies and a director and member of the audit committee of Performance Sports Group Ltd., a publicly-traded sports equipment company. Mr. Jacobi is a certified public accountant and holds a B.S. degree from the University of Connecticut.

In making the decision to nominate Mr. Jacobi to serve as a director, the Nominating and Governance Committee considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, his leadership experience as chief executive officer and chief financial officer of a public company; his extensive experience as a public company director and audit committee member and chairman; and his financial and accounting experience and expertise.

ANNE L. MARIUCCI

Director since 2011

Ms. Mariucci, age 57, has served as a director since December 2011 and as a member of our Audit and Risk Committee since May 2012. Ms. Mariucci is a private investor who, prior to 2003, served in a variety of senior management capacities with Del Webb Corporation and as Senior Vice President of Strategy for Pulte Homes, Inc., following its

acquisition of Del Webb. Ms. Mariucci received her undergraduate degree in accounting and finance from the University of Arizona and completed the corporate finance program at the Stanford University Graduate School of Business. She serves as a director of Taylor Morrison Home Corp., where she serves on the Audit Committee, and Southwest Gas Company, where she serves on the Nominating and Corporate Governance and Pension Plan Investment Committees, the University of Arizona Health Network, Arizona State University Foundation and the Fresh Start Women's Foundation. She is a past director of the Arizona State Retirement System, Scottsdale Healthcare and Action Performance Companies, as well as a past Trustee of the Urban Land Institute. She also served on the Arizona Board of Regents.

In making the decision to nominate Ms. Mariucci to serve as a director, the Nominating and Governance Committee considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, her public company executive leadership experience; her understanding of and experience with the State of Arizona, a state where a significant portion of our operations is located; her background in accounting and corporate finance; her experience and knowledge with real estate; her experience as a public company director and member of audit and compensation committees; her civic and community involvement; and her contribution to the Board's gender diversity.

THURGOOD MARSHALL, JR.

Director since 2002

Mr. Marshall, age 58, has served as a director and member of the Nominating and Governance Committee since December 2002. Mr. Marshall is a partner in the law firm of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP in Washington D.C., and a principal in Morgan Lewis Consulting Group LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP that assists business clients with communications, political and legal strategies. Mr. Marshall is a member of the board of directors of Genesco Inc., a diversified retailer of footwear, headwear, sports apparel and accessories, where he serves on the finance committee and the nominating and governance committee. He also serves on the boards of the Ford Foundation and the Supreme Court Historical Society. He serves on the American Bar Association Election Law Committee and the American Bar Association Law and National Security Committee, and he served on the Ethics Oversight Committee of the United States Olympic Committee. Mr. Marshall, the son of the historic Supreme Court Justice Thurgood Marshall, has held appointments in each branch of the federal government, including Cabinet Secretary to President Clinton and Director of Legislative Affairs and Deputy Counsel to Vice President Al Gore. Mr. Marshall was confirmed by the United States Senate to serve on the Board of Governors of the United States Postal Service in 2006 and served as Chairman prior to completing his service in 2013. Mr. Marshall earned a B.A. in 1978 and a J.D. in 1981 from the University of Virginia, after which he clerked for United States District Judge Barrington D. Parker.

In making the decision to nominate Mr. Marshall to serve as a director, the Nominating and Governance Committee considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, his understanding of politics and the public sector through his varied government service and consulting work; his understanding of organizational governance and oversight through his service as a director in the public, non-profit and for-profit sectors; his understanding of legal, regulatory and compliance issues through his education and experience as a lawyer; and his contribution to the Board's cultural diversity.

CHARLES L. OVERBY

Director since 2001

Mr. Overby, age 68, has served as a director since December 2001. Mr. Overby has served as a member of the Audit and Risk Committee since February 2002 and as the Chair of the Nominating and Governance Committee since the committee was established in December 2002. From 1997 through 2011, Mr. Overby served as the chairman and chief executive officer of The Freedom Forum, an independent, non-partisan foundation dedicated to the First Amendment and media issues, as well as chief executive officer of its affiliates, The Diversity Institute and the Newseum, a museum about news and history in Washington, D.C. Mr. Overby is a former Pulitzer Prize-winning editor in Jackson, Mississippi. He worked 16 years for Gannett Co., the nation's largest newspaper company, in various capacities, including as reporter, editor and corporate executive. He was vice president for news and communications for Gannett and served on the management committees of Gannett and USA TODAY. Mr. Overby currently serves on the board of the Andrew Jackson Foundation.

In making the decision to nominate Mr. Overby to serve as a director, the Nominating and Governance Committee considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, his executive leadership experience and understanding of corporate governance as chief executive of several non-profit organizations; his understanding of media and public relations through his career as a journalist, print media executive and executive with other media related organizations; his political experience; and his civic and community involvement and leadership.

JOHN R. PRANN, JR.**Director since 2000**

Mr. Prann, age 64, has served as a director and member of the Compensation Committee since December 2000 and member of the Audit and Risk Committee since December 2014. Mr. Prann's business experience includes service as the president and chief executive officer of Katy Industries, Inc., as a partner with the accounting firm of Deloitte & Touche and as a director of several private companies. Mr. Prann earned a B.A. in Biology from the University of California, Riverside and an M.B.A. from the University of Chicago.

In making the decision to nominate Mr. Prann to serve as a director, the Nominating and Governance Committee considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, his executive leadership experience as president and chief executive of a public company and his understanding of accounting and finance issues through his education and career.

JOSEPH V. RUSSELL**Director since 1999**

Mr. Russell, age 74, has served as a director since 1999. Mr. Russell is the Chair of the Compensation Committee and a member of the Executive and the Nominating and Governance Committees. Mr. Russell is the co-chairman and co-chief executive officer of Elan-Polo, Inc., a privately-held, world-wide producer and distributor of footwear. Mr. Russell is a member of the board of the Maddox Charitable Fund, the Boy Scouts of America - Middle Tennessee Council and the Vanderbilt Heart Advisory Council, all charitable organizations. He is also a member of the board of Community Care Inc. and Belmont University. Mr. Russell graduated from the University of Tennessee in 1963 with a B.S. in Finance.

In making the decision to nominate Mr. Russell to serve as a director, the Nominating and Governance Committee considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, his experience as the owner and chief executive officer of a manufacturing company; his familiarity with the Company through his long tenure as a director; his demonstrated leadership skills as a director and Chair of the Compensation Committee; and his knowledge, experience and judgment with respect to executive compensation issues.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote “FOR” each of the 12 nominees.

PROPOSAL 2 - RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit and Risk Committee has appointed Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015. Services provided to the Company and its subsidiaries by Ernst & Young LLP in fiscal 2014 are described below under "Audit Matters."

Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP will be present at the Annual Meeting. They will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and we expect that they will be available to respond to questions.

Ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of the shares of common stock voting in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting. If the Company's stockholders do not ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP, the Audit and Risk Committee will reconsider the appointment and may affirm the appointment or retain another independent accounting firm. If the appointment is ratified, the Audit and Risk Committee may in the future replace Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm if it is determined that it is in the Company's best interest to do so.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote "FOR" the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015.

AUDIT MATTERS

Audit and Non-Audit Fees

The following table presents fees for audit, audit-related, tax and other services rendered by the Company's principal independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Fees	2014	2013
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$1,288,906	\$1,419,500
Audit-Related Fees ⁽²⁾	-	70,000
Tax Fees ⁽³⁾	776,895	719,705
All Other Fees ⁽⁴⁾	<u>1,715</u>	<u>1,995</u>
Total	<u>\$2,067,516</u>	<u>\$2,211,200</u>

- (1) Audit fees for 2014 and 2013 include fees associated with the audit of our consolidated financial statements, the audit of our internal control over financial reporting, reviews of our quarterly financial statements and assistance with filing certain registration statements.
- (2) Audit-Related Fees in 2013 include due diligence and accounting consultations related to our acquisition of Correctional Alternatives, Inc.
- (3) Tax fees for 2014 and 2013 were for services consisting primarily of federal and state tax planning, including the Company's activities relating to being taxed as a REIT. Tax fees for 2013 also include federal and state tax consulting in connection with our acquisition of Correctional Alternatives, Inc.
- (4) All other fees for 2014 and 2013 consist of access fees to EY Online, an on-line information and communication tool available to Ernst & Young audit clients.

Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Fees

Consistent with Section 202 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and SEC rules regarding auditor independence, our Audit and Risk Committee pre-approves all audit and non-audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. In 2014 and 2013, the Audit and Risk Committee approved all fees disclosed under "audit," "tax," "audit-related" and "all other" fees by Ernst & Young in accordance with applicable rules.

The Audit and Risk Committee's Auditor Independence Policy prohibits our independent registered public accounting firm from performing certain non-audit services and any services that have not been approved by the Audit and Risk Committee in accordance with the policy and the Section 202 rules. The policy establishes procedures to ensure that proposed services are brought before the Audit and Risk Committee for consideration and, if determined by the Committee to be consistent with the auditor's independence, approved prior to initiation, and to ensure that the Audit and Risk Committee has adequate information to assess the types of services being performed and fee amounts on an ongoing basis. The Audit and Risk Committee has delegated to its Chair, Mr. Jacobi, the authority to pre-approve services between meetings when necessary, provided that the full Committee is apprised of the services approved at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

Report of the Audit and Risk Committee

The following Report of the Audit and Risk Committee does not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other Company filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent the Company specifically incorporates this Report by reference therein.

Oversight of Financial Reporting

As part of its oversight of our financial statements, the Committee reviews and discusses with both management and our independent registered public accounting firm all annual and quarterly financial statements prior to their issuance. With respect to the 2014 fiscal year, management advised the Committee that each set of financial statements reviewed had been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and reviewed significant accounting and disclosure issues with the Committee. These reviews included discussion with the independent registered public accounting firm of matters required to be discussed pursuant to *Auditing Standard No. 16 (Communications with Audit Committees)*, as amended, including the quality of our accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements. The Committee also received the written disclosures and a letter from Ernst & Young LLP required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding its communications with the Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with Ernst & Young LLP its independence.

Also with respect to fiscal 2014, the Audit and Risk Committee received periodic updates provided by management, the independent registered public accounting firm and the internal auditors at each regularly scheduled Audit and Risk Committee meeting and provided oversight during the process. At the conclusion of the process, management provided the Audit and Risk Committee with, and the Audit and Risk Committee reviewed a report on, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. The Audit and Risk Committee also reviewed Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and Ernst & Young LLP's Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Taking all of these reviews and discussions into account, the undersigned Committee members recommended to the Board of Directors that the Board approve the inclusion of our audited financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, for filing with the SEC.

Submitted by the Audit and Risk Committee of the Board of Directors:

C. Michael Jacobi, Chair
Donna M. Alvarado
Anne L. Mariucci
Charles L. Overby
John R. Prann, Jr. (since December 2014)

PROPOSAL 3 - ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE THE COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The Company seeks your non-binding advisory vote and asks that you support the compensation of our Named Executive Officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section (“CD&A”) and the accompanying tables contained in this Proxy Statement. Our Board of Directors has determined to hold this advisory vote every year. Because your vote is advisory, it will not be binding on the Compensation Committee or the Company. However, the Compensation Committee will review the voting results and take them into consideration when making future decisions regarding executive compensation for our Named Executive Officers. We urge you to read the CD&A, which begins on page 26, and any other sections of this Proxy Statement for additional details on our executive compensation, including our compensation philosophy and objectives and the 2014 compensation of our Named Executive Officers.

As described in detail in the CD&A, our executive compensation programs are designed to ensure that our executive officers are rewarded appropriately for their contributions to us and that our overall compensation strategy supports the objectives and values of our organization, as well as stockholder interests. Our programs are designed to attract and maintain executive leadership who will execute our business strategy, uphold our values and deliver results and long-term value to our stockholders. Our goal is to have a substantial portion of executive compensation contingent upon our performance.

We had significant success and increased value in 2014, including:

- In our **second year operating as a REIT**, we delivered value and strong returns to our stockholders with our regular aggregate dividends per share in 2014 increasing to \$2.04 from \$1.97 per share in 2013.
- We have had **strong one-year, three-year and five-year total stockholder return (“TSR”)** of 20.4%, 34.2% and 14.9%, respectively.
- We had **strong operational and financial results** for 2014, as we achieved growth in our adjusted net income, dividends and normalized Funds From Operations (“FFO”), due to successful operations and integration as a REIT.

Our compensation reflected our performance and reasonable market competitive practices:

- We employ **normalized FFO as the primary performance metric** by which annual incentive cash compensation may be earned, once we achieved positive adjusted earnings per share (“Adjusted EPS”).
 - We achieved positive Adjusted EPS and normalized FFO per share of \$1.92 and \$2.65, respectively, which, pursuant to the pre-established formula, yielded an **annual cash incentive payout of 101.42% of actual salary**.
 - **Target bonus opportunity** under our 2014 annual cash incentive plan was **75% of salary** for all executives, **including our CEO**.
- We **re-introduced performance-based RSUs** in 2014, which vest based on our achievement of annual growth of normalized FFO per share, with 1/3rd of the granted shares vesting if we achieve maximum normalized FFO per share goal for that year. If maximum goals are not achieved, vesting is delayed and will occur, if at all, based on pre-established goals. The normalized FFO goals are based on cumulative normalized FFO growth rates.
 - Our **cumulative normalized FFO per share growth** resulted in **full vesting of the tranches of the performance shares** granted in 2014 that could vest based on our 2014 normalized FFO performance.
 - Our Adjusted **EPS** resulted in **full vesting of the tranche of the performance shares** granted in 2012 that could vest based on our 2014 Adjusted EPS performance.
- Our equity grants in 2014 were **exclusively performance-based RSUs**. We did not grant any options or time-based RSUs.
- We made substantial **revisions to our Peer Group** in early 2014 to reflect our REIT status.
- Our total cash compensation and total direct compensation are generally **at or below market median**.

The Compensation Committee continually reviews the compensation programs for our Named Executive Officers to ensure our programs achieve the desired goals of aligning our executive compensation structure with our stockholders' interests and current market practices. The Compensation Committee also has engaged an independent compensation consultant, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, to assist it in reviewing the Company's compensation strategies and plans.

We believe that our executive compensation programs are structured in the best manner possible to support our company and our business objectives.

Stockholders are being asked to vote on adoption of the following resolution:

RESOLVED: That the stockholders of Corrections Corporation of America approve the compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and related compensation tables, notes and narrative in the Proxy Statement for the Company's 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote "FOR" the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our Named Executive Officers.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth our executive officers as of March 16, 2015:

Damon T. Hininger	Chief Executive Officer and President, Director
David M. Garfinkle	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Harley G. Lappin	Executive Vice President and Chief Corrections Officer
Anthony L. Grande	Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer
Steven E. Groom	Executive Vice President and General Counsel
Lucibeth Mayberry	Senior Vice President, Real Estate
Kim White	Senior Vice President, Human Resources
John D. Ferguson	Executive Chairman of the Board, Director

Todd J Mullenger, our former Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, stepped down from that role, effective May 1, 2014. Mr. Mullenger has agreed to remain with the Company in a non-executive officer capacity to assist in the transition and various projects until April 30, 2016. Set forth below are the biographies of each of our current executive officers, except for Messrs. Hininger and Ferguson, whose biographies are set forth under "Proposal 1 – Election of Directors."

David M. Garfinkle, age 47, has served as the Company's Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since May 1, 2014. He served as the Company's Vice President of Finance and Controller from February 2001 to May 1, 2014. From 1996 to 2001, Mr. Garfinkle served as Vice President and Controller for Bradley Real Estate, Inc., a publicly traded real estate investment trust. Prior to joining Bradley Real Estate, Inc., Mr. Garfinkle was a Senior Manager at KPMG Peat Marwick, LLP. Mr. Garfinkle is a Certified Public Accountant and graduated summa cum laude with a bachelor of business administration from St. Bonaventure University.

Harley G. Lappin, age 59, has served as an Executive Vice President and our Chief Corrections Officer since June 2011. Prior to joining the Company and since 2003, Mr. Lappin served as the Director of the Federal Bureau of Prisons, the nation's largest correctional system, with oversight and management responsibility for 116 federal prisons, 14 large, private contract facilities and more than 250 contracts for community correctional facilities, in total comprising more than 215,000 inmates managed by 38,000 employees. Previously, Mr. Lappin served in a variety of other roles with the Bureau of Prisons beginning in 1985, including Regional Director, Warden of the United States Penitentiary in Indiana, and Warden of the Federal Correctional Institution in North Carolina, among other positions. Mr. Lappin has a master's degree in criminal justice from Kent State University and an undergraduate degree from Indiana University.

Anthony L. Grande, age 45, has served as an Executive Vice President and our Chief Development Officer since July 2008. From September 2007 to July 2008, Mr. Grande served as our Senior Vice President, State Customer Relations. Mr. Grande joined CCA in 2003 to serve as Vice President of State Customer Relations. Prior to joining CCA, Mr. Grande served as the Commissioner of Economic and Community Development for the State of Tennessee. Mr. Grande earned his Masters of Education at Vanderbilt University in Nashville, Tennessee and his Bachelor of Arts from The American University in Washington, D.C.

Steven E. Groom, age 63, has served as an Executive Vice President and General Counsel since April 2010. From March 2001 to April 2010, Mr. Groom served as our Vice President and Deputy General Counsel with responsibility for litigation and risk management. Previously, Mr. Groom was a partner in the law firm of Stites & Harbison, PLLC in Nashville and served in managing attorney and general counsel roles for SunTrust Bank, Inc. Mr. Groom earned a bachelor's degree from Lipscomb University and his law degree from the University of Memphis, where he was a member of the Law Review. Mr. Groom serves on the Board of Visitors of Lipscomb University's College of Business and the Board of Advisors of the University's Institute for Conflict Management.

Lucibeth Mayberry, age 43, has served as a Senior Vice President, Real Estate since November 2013. From August 2008 to November 2013, Ms. Mayberry served as our Vice President, Deputy Chief Development Officer. From March 2006 to August 2008, Ms. Mayberry served as Vice President, Research, Contract and Proposals. Ms. Mayberry joined CCA in May 2003 as Senior Director, State Partnership Relations and was promoted to Managing Director, State Partnership Relations in 2004. Before joining CCA, Ms. Mayberry served as a Senior Associate of the Taxation and

Estate Planning Practice Group at the Nashville-based law firm Stokes, Bartholomew, Evans and Petree. She holds a bachelor's degree from the University of Tennessee, a juris doctor from Vanderbilt University, and a Master of Laws in Taxation from the University of Florida.

Kim White, age 54, has served as Senior Vice President, Human Resources since November 2013. From March 2013 to November 2013, Ms. White served as Vice President, Correctional Programs and from August 2012 to March 2013, Ms. White served as Managing Director, Inmate Programs. Prior to joining CCA, Ms. White served 26 years with the Federal Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") in a wide variety of operational roles in the areas of Institutional Operations, Staffing and Inmate Programs, and more recently as the Assistant Director, Human Resource Management Division, where she had oversight for the hiring, training and retention of the Bureau's 38,000 employees. In 2007, Ms. White received the Presidential Rank Award of Meritorious Executive for her leadership with the BOP. Ms. White holds a bachelor's degree in corrections and criminal justice and a master's degree in correctional criminology and juvenile justice, both from Kent State University. She has also completed Harvard University's Executive Education Program for senior managers in government.

EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This section of the Proxy Statement discusses the objectives and elements of our compensation programs and the compensation awarded to our named executive officers, or NEOs, consisting of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, our former Chief Financial Officer and our next three highest paid executives in 2014. This information should be read in conjunction with the Summary Compensation Table and the related tables and narratives that follow in this Proxy Statement. Based on SEC proxy disclosure rules, the following individuals were our NEOs for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014:

Damon T. Hininger	Chief Executive Officer and President
David M. Garfinkle	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Harley G. Lappin	Executive Vice President and Chief Corrections Officer
Anthony L. Grande	Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer
Steven E. Groom	Executive Vice President and General Counsel
Todd J Mullenger	Former Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Mr. Mullenger stepped down from his position of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in May 2014, and is currently serving as special assistant to the Chief Executive Officer, a non-executive officer position. Mr. Garfinkle was promoted in May 2014 to Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from his former position of Vice President of Finance and Controller.

Executive Summary

Corrections Corporation of America is the nation's largest owner of privatized correctional and detention facilities and one of the largest prison operators in the United States. The fundamental objectives of our compensation policies are to attract and retain executive leadership that will execute our business strategy, uphold our values, deliver strong results and create long-term value for our stockholders.

In Our Second Year Operating as a REIT, We Delivered Value and Strong Returns to Our Stockholders. CCA began operating as a real estate investment trust, or a REIT, for federal income tax purposes effective January 1, 2013. As part of our transition to a REIT, we paid a special dividend to our stockholders of \$675 million, or approximately \$6.66 per share. Our regular aggregate dividends per share in 2014 increased to \$2.04 from \$1.97 in 2013.

Strong One-year, Three-year and Five-Year Total Shareholder Return. Our stock price increased from a closing price of \$32.07 at fiscal year-end 2013 to \$36.34 for fiscal year end for 2014. Our total stockholder return, or TSR, for 2014 and the three-year and five-year periods ended December 31, 2014, was 20.4%, 34.2% and 14.9%, respectively, including the impact of our dividends. We ranked at the 44.0 percentile, 87.3 percentile and 30.0 percentile among our updated peer group for our 1 year, 3 year and 5 year TSR, respectively.

Strong Operational and Financial Results for 2014. We experienced meaningful growth in our adjusted net income, dividends and normalized Funds From Operations (“FFO”) (as described below), due to our successful operations. Our Adjusted EPS in 2014 resulted in full vesting of the tranche of the performance shares granted in 2012 that could vest based on our 2014 Adjusted EPS performance.

	2014 (\$ in millions, except per share amounts)	2013 (\$ in millions, except per share amounts)	Change
Net Income	195.0	300.8	(35.17%)
Adjusted Net Income ⁽¹⁾	225.0	213.4	5.44%
Dividends per share ⁽²⁾	2.04	1.97	3.55%
Normalized FFO ⁽³⁾	310.5	294.7	5.36%
EPS	1.66	2.70	(38.52%)
Adjusted EPS ⁽⁴⁾	1.92	1.92	-
Pro forma EPS ⁽⁵⁾	1.92	1.83	4.92%
Closing Stock Price at Fiscal Year-End	36.34	32.07	13.31%

- (1) Adjusted Net Income represents GAAP net income, adjusted for the Company’s expenses incurred in connection with the debt refinancing activities, REIT conversion, expenses associated with mergers and acquisition activity and certain impairments that the Company believes are unusual or nonrecurring, and do not reflect the ongoing operations of the Company. These adjustments are specified in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 under the heading “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Funds from Operations.”
- (2) Excludes the \$6.66 per share special dividend paid in May 2013 in connection with our conversion to a REIT.
- (3) Normalized FFO is FFO adjusted to exclude income and expenses deemed non-recurring, infrequent or unusual, even though such items may require cash settlement, because such items do not reflect a necessary component of our ongoing operations. Our reconciliation of FFO and Normalized FFO from net income are contained in our Annual Report on 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 under the heading “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Funds from Operations.”
- (4) Adjusted EPS represents earnings per share adjusted for the items described under “Compensation for 2014—Annual Cash Incentive Plan Compensation” below.
- (5) Pro forma EPS is calculated and presented as if the shares issued in connection with the special dividend were issued as of the beginning of the period presented.


Enhanced Pay for Performance Metrics. Pay for performance is an important component of our longstanding executive compensation philosophy. Our compensation approach is designed to incentivize our executives to substantially contribute individually and collaboratively to our long-term, sustainable growth. For 2014, as in 2013, and in light of our conversion to a REIT, in order to tie the performance metrics by which our incentive compensation may be earned to our long-term value creation, we use normalized funds from operations, or FFO, as the primary performance metric by which incentive compensation may be earned, once we achieved positive adjusted earnings per share, or Adjusted EPS. Our 2014 cash incentive plan operated as an umbrella plan, wherein positive Adjusted EPS would result in maximum 200% of actual salary payable; however, pre-established goals for normalized FFO per share were used as negative discretion metrics to determine actual payouts. We use normalized FFO performance metrics because we believe that with the conversion of the Company to a REIT structure, normalized FFO better reflects the value delivered to stockholders, as it measures our earnings and cash generating potential from our core business, and is more comparable to performance metrics used by other REITs.

Under our annual cash incentive plan we target 75% of actual salary for the annual cash incentive award for all of our NEO’s, including our Chief Executive Officer. For 2014, under our annual cash incentive plan we achieved positive Adjusted EPS and normalized FFO per share of \$1.92 and \$2.65 per share, respectively, which, pursuant to the pre-established formula, yielded an annual cash incentive payout of 101.42% of actual salary. The 2014 bonuses were paid consistent with this formula.

Revised Equity Grant Practices to Better Align the Interests of our Executives with our REIT Stockholders. In 2014, in order to continue to align management’s interests with those of our stockholders, to tie compensation to our performance as a REIT and to put a substantial portion of the executive’s pay at risk based on our

performance, the Compensation Committee determined to grant performance-based RSUs in 2014 with the performance metric based on our cumulative normalized FFO growth rate. These performance-based RSUs will vest over a three year period, with 1/3rd vesting per year if we achieve the pre-established maximum normalized FFO performance goal for that year, which goal is based on pre-established compounded annual growth rates. If maximum performance is not achieved, then any vesting is delayed until the end of the three year period and will be based upon performance each year against pre-established goals for each such year. No other equity awards were granted in 2014.

Substantial Compensation Tied to Our Objective Performance. Given that all of the equity granted in 2014 is in the form of performance-based RSUs that vest only based upon our normalized FFO performance, which performance measures are determined employing a multi-year growth rate, a substantial portion of executive compensation is tied to the interests of our stockholders and long-term value creation. The following table illustrates the degree to which the total direct actual compensation of our CEO for 2014 was earned based on our performance.



All values derive from the Summary Compensation Table for 2014 for Mr. Hininger. “Other” includes “Change in Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings” and “All Other Compensation” as described in the Summary Compensation Table.

Favorable Results of 2014 Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation. At our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, our stockholders overwhelmingly approved the compensation of our NEOs with more than 96% of the votes cast voting in favor of our advisory “say on pay” proposal. The Compensation Committee and the Company view these results as an indication that our stockholders support our executive compensation policies, and thus no changes were made to our compensation programs as a result of this vote. Nonetheless, the Compensation Committee continues to evaluate compensation best practices.

Updated Peer Group and Market Data Reflects Market Median Compensation. Our independent compensation consultant, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, or PwC, conducts competitive analyses from time to time at the request of our Compensation Committee, in order to provide a comparison of our compensation practices against a peer group of companies. In February 2014 in connection with our recent conversion to a REIT, our Compensation Committee, with the assistance of PwC, performed an extensive competitive market analysis. Our peer group for 2014 was 29 companies, including 14 REIT companies. In determining our peer group for 2014, the Compensation Committee and PwC took into account our REIT status and our current financial metrics. We are positioned at the 50th percentile in terms of revenues and in between the 25th and 50th percentile in terms of market capitalization and fixed assets of the peer group.

- ✓ Based on the February 2014 PwC report, our total cash compensation in 2014 was generally below median market, and total direct compensation in 2014 was generally at or below median market, when compared to our peer group, while our EPS growth, return on equity and TSR were above the market median of our peer group.

Compensation Practices and Governance. We believe in keeping the upmost integrity and serving as a responsible fiduciary to our stockholders. We are committed to managing the company for the benefit of the

stockholders and are focused on maintaining good governance and establishing best practices that work within our objectives and which we deem advisable. Practices that illustrate that commitment include:

- ✓ We maintain an anti-hedging and anti-pledging policy;
- ✓ Our Compensation Committee works with an independent consultant, who did a comprehensive revamping of our peer group and guidelines for 2014, better reflecting our REIT status;
- ✓ We provide limited perks;
- ✓ We do NOT provide tax gross ups (except in connection with relocations); and
- ✓ We maintain stock ownership guidelines for our directors and executive officers.

Additionally, in keeping with our evaluation of potential best practices, in 2014, the Compensation Committee evaluated market practices and the advisability of adopting clawback policies, but determined that it was best to wait for further SEC clarification on this matter.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The fundamental objectives of our executive compensation policies are to attract and maintain executive leadership that will execute our business strategy, uphold our values, deliver positive results and create long-term value to our stockholders. Accordingly, the Committee develops compensation strategies and programs that will attract, retain and motivate highly qualified and high-performing executives through compensation that is:

- ✓ Performance-based: A significant component of compensation should be determined based on whether or not we meet performance criteria that are aligned with growth in stockholder value and do not encourage unreasonable risk-taking.
- ✓ Competitive: Pay for performance scales are established so the competitive positioning of an executive's total compensation reflects the competitive positioning of the Company's performance, *i.e.*, high Company performance relative to peers results in high compensation relative to competitive benchmarks, and *vice versa*.
- ✓ Balanced: Performance-oriented features and retention-oriented features should be balanced so the entire program accomplishes the Company's pay-for-performance and executive retention objectives, while encouraging prudent risk-taking that is aligned with the Company's overall strategy.
- ✓ Fair: Compensation levels and plan design should reflect competitive practices, our performance relative to peer companies and the relationship of compensation levels from one executive to another.

In making its determinations, the Compensation Committee performs an overall analysis of the executive's performance for the year, projected role and responsibilities, impact on execution of Company strategy, external pay practices, total cash and total direct compensation positioning relative to other Company executives, the recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer and such other factors the Committee deems appropriate. Our Committee also considers employee retention, vulnerability to recruitment by other companies and the difficulty and costs associated with replacing executive talent. Based on these objectives, the Committee has determined that our Company should provide its executives with compensation packages comprised of three primary elements:

- 1) base salary, which takes individual performance into account and is designed to be competitive with median salary levels in an appropriate peer group;
- 2) annual variable performance awards, payable in cash and based on the financial performance of the Company, in accordance with the goals established by the Committee; and
- 3) long-term stock-based incentive awards that vest based on the performance of the Company, which strengthens the commonality of interests between executive officers and our stockholders.

Benefits and perquisites play a limited role in our executives' total compensation packages. The Committee believes that as a result of our balance of long- and short-term incentives, our use of performance-based RSUs with dividend equivalents that provide a tie to our stockholders interests and our stock ownership guidelines, our executive compensation program currently serves our objectives well.

Process – Independent Review and Use of Market Data

The Compensation Committee annually reviews executive compensation and our compensation policies to ensure that the Chief Executive Officer and the other executive officers are rewarded appropriately for their contributions to our success and that the overall compensation strategy supports the objectives and values of our organization, as well as stockholder interests. The Committee conducts this review and makes compensation decisions through a comprehensive process involving a series of meetings primarily occurring in the first and second quarters of each year. Committee meetings typically are attended by the Committee members, legal advisors, our Chairman of the Board, our Chief Executive Officer and, upon request, the Committee's compensation consultant. As with all Board committees, other Board members also have a standing invitation to attend the Compensation Committee's meetings. Our Chief Executive Officer generally makes recommendations to the Committee regarding equity awards for the executive officers other than himself. The Committee meets in executive session to the extent the members deem necessary or appropriate to ensure independent analysis and determinations. Additional information regarding the Compensation Committee and Committee meetings is included above under "Corporate Governance – Board of Director Meetings and Committees."

Use of Independent Compensation Consultant. For 2014, the Committee engaged PwC, and has done so since 2000, to assist it in reviewing our compensation strategies and plans. PwC works directly with the chair of the Committee and, as directed by the chair of the Committee, with our Chief Executive Officer. PwC was selected due to its extensive experience in providing compensation consulting services. At the Committee's request, PwC has from time to time performed several analyses, including peer and market comparisons, internal pay equity, updating of the executive salary structure and modeling of executive compensation levels at different levels of Company performance. Our Compensation Committee does not use the market data to benchmark our compensation; instead, these analyses and the input from PwC have assisted the Committee in determining if its strategies and plans were advisable based on the Company's current financial position and strategic goals, as well as developments in corporate governance and compensation design.

Peer Group and Market Data. In 2014 due to the recent conversion of the Company to a REIT, and based in part on the recommendations of PwC, the Compensation Committee established a new peer group, expanding the peer group to 23 companies from 15, including adding 11 new REIT companies (for a new total of 14 REIT companies) and eliminating certain companies that were not dividend paying. In establishing the new peer group, and based on the recommendation of PwC, we employed the following as filters for determining our updated peer group:

- ✓ Owners and operators of multi-state facilities delivering services to third parties;
- ✓ Revenues of \$900 million to \$6 billion
- ✓ Minimum employee base of 10,000;
- ✓ Market capitalization between \$3 billion to \$6 billion;
- ✓ Dividend payout ratio of greater than 60% of net income;
- ✓ Investment in fixed assets of \$2 to \$5 billion; and
- ✓ Future growth heavily dependent upon the acquisition or development of additional facilities.

The following companies were selected by PwC and approved by the Committee for inclusion in the 2014 peer group:

- Brookdale Senior Living, Inc.
- CBL & Associates Properties, Inc.
- Cinemark Holdings, Inc.
- Duke Realty
- Federal Realty Investment Trust
- The Geo Group, Inc.
- HealthSouth Corporation
- Hospitality Properties Trust
- Hyatt Hotels Corporation
- Realty Income Corporation
- Weingarten Realty Investors
- Iron Mountain Incorporated
- LifePoint Hospitals, Inc.
- MeadwestVaco Corporation
- Packaging Corp. of America
- Penn National Gaming Inc.
- Piedmont Office Realty Trust
- Plum Creek Timber Co., Inc.
- Quanta Services, Inc.
- Rayonier, Inc.
- Regal Entertainment Group
- Senior Housing Properties Trust

None of our peer group companies met all of the filters, and generally the peer group companies met approximately two or more of the filters. In general, we were at the 50th percentile of revenues among our peers and between the 25th and 50th percentile of market capitalization and fixed assets of our peers.

Total Direct Target Compensation Guidelines. Based on the extensive market analysis performed by PwC in 2014 as described above, and based on internal pay equity considerations and a consideration of our compensation objectives and philosophies, with a particular emphasis on performance and equity as key drivers for executive compensation, the executive compensation structure set forth in the table below was developed by the Committee in 2014 in consultation with PwC for purposes of providing a point of reference for comparing future compensation decisions. The midpoint amounts are aligned with the 50th percentile of peer group proxy data by rank. References in this Proxy to the Salary Midpoint or the LTIP Fair Value are to the following table valuations.

Position Level	Position Titles	Base Salary Structure			Bonus	LTIP Fair Value	Total Comp. Midpoint ⁽¹⁾
		Minimum	Midpoint	Maximum			
A	Chief Executive Officer	\$675,000	\$840,000	\$1,005,000	75%	\$2,500,000	\$3,970,000
B	Chief Financial Officer, Chief Corrections Officer and Chief Development Officer	\$335,000	\$420,000	\$505,000	75%	\$ 850,000	\$1,585,000
C	General Counsel	\$275,000	\$345,000	\$415,000	75%	\$ 735,000	\$ 1,338,750

(1) Equals the sum of base salary midpoint plus target bonus plus LTIP fair value.

Compensation for 2014

The primary components of our 2014 compensation program were cash compensation, consisting of a mix of base salary and our annual cash incentive plan compensation, and equity incentives, consisting solely of restricted stock units with performance-based vesting.

Base Salary

We seek to provide base salaries for our executive officers that provide a secure level of guaranteed cash compensation in accordance with their experience, professional status and job responsibilities. Typically in the second quarter of each year, the Committee reviews and approves a revised annual salary plan for our executive officers, taking into account several factors, including prior year salary, responsibilities, tenure, individual performance, salaries paid by comparable companies for comparable positions, the Company's overall pay scale and the Company's recent and projected financial performance. As part of PwC's 2014 study, the Committee determined that base salary generally should be set at or below the 50th percentile of the benchmarks from the PwC market analysis, subject to adjustment to account for the individual factors referenced above. This market positioning was based on the Committee's objective of providing competitive base salaries for recruiting and retention purposes.

The Committee also solicits the views and recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer, in consultation with our Chairman, when setting the base salaries of the other executive officers, given their respective insight into internal pay equity and positioning issues, as well as executive performance. At a Committee meeting typically held in the first or second quarter of each year, the Chief Executive Officer summarizes his assessment of the performance during the previous year of each of the other executive officers. The Chief Executive Officer, in consultation with our Chairman, also provides his recommendations on any compensation adjustments. The Committee approves any base salary adjustments for these executives, based on such factors as the competitive compensation analysis, the Chief Executive Officer's assessment of individual performance, the Company's performance and the location in the salary range of the executive's current salary, general market conditions and internal pay equity considerations.

The process is similar for determining any base salary adjustments for the Chief Executive Officer, except that the Chief Executive Officer does not provide the Committee with a recommendation. The Chief Executive Officer presents a self-assessment of his performance during the year to the Committee, which then approves any base salary adjustment based on the factors described above with respect to the other executives. To the extent it deems necessary and appropriate, the Committee meets in executive session to discuss adjustments to the base salaries of the Company's

executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer. Such adjustments typically take effect on or about July 1 of each year.

During 2014, after review of the PwC 2014 report and consultation with PwC, the Committee approved increases to the base salaries for certain of our NEOs in the amounts reflected in the table below, with the salaries effective as of July 1, 2014. The Committee believed that the raises were appropriate given that, based on PwC's reports, these NEOs have salaries at or below the median of their peers. The larger increases for Messrs. Lappin and Grande reflect the larger disparity between their 2013 base salaries and the Salary Midpoint for their positions of \$420,000 and to continue their internal equity in recognition of the importance of their roles. Mr. Garfinkle's increase reflects his promotion in May 2014 to Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from his former position of Vice President of Finance and Controller. Mr. Mullenger stepped down from his position as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in May 2014 and is currently serving as special assistant to the Chief Executive Officer with an annual salary set at the same level that was in effect at the time of his termination as an executive officer.

Name	2014 Base Salary \$	2013 Base Salary \$	Percentage Increase
Damon T. Hininger	840,000	785,000	7.01%
David M. Garfinkle	360,000	256,594	40.30%
Harley G. Lappin	402,038	355,000	13.25%
Anthony L. Grande	402,038	355,000	13.25%
Steven E. Groom	319,456	298,000	7.20%
Todd J Mullenger	380,000	380,000	-

Annual Cash Incentive Plan Compensation

Our annual cash incentive plan compensation provides our executive officers with the potential for significantly enhanced cash compensation based on the extent to which financial performance targets set in advance by the Committee are met. Each of the named executive officers in the annual cash incentive plan can earn between 5% and 200% of his or her actual salary based on our performance against pre-established financial goals.

The financial goals for the 2014 cash incentive plan were Adjusted EPS and growth in normalized funds from operations, or normalized FFO. In order for cash incentives to be payable, we must first achieve positive Adjusted EPS, which, if achieved, would result in a potential maximum payout of 200% of base salary. Presuming positive Adjusted EPS criteria is met, the actual bonus payable is determined through the Committee's exercise of negative discretion, based on our normalized FFO against pre-established goals as set forth in the table below. The Committee chose normalized FFO as the performance metric for 2014 along with positive Adjusted EPS, because it believes that with the Company operating as a REIT, there is a strong relationship between positive Adjusted EPS coupled with normalized FFO growth and growth in stockholder value. Normalized FFO is a common metric used to evaluate REITs, as it takes into account earnings from existing properties excluding the impact of depreciation of real estate assets, and thus measures the cash-generating potential of the REIT's holdings.

Since 2010, the Compensation Committee has employed a target bonus opportunity of 75% of actual salary paid during the year for each of the NEOs, including our Chief Executive Officer.

The initial guidance for 2014, as set forth in the Company's earnings news release dated February 12, 2014, ranged from \$1.84 to \$1.92 for adjusted diluted EPS and from \$2.56 to \$2.64 for normalized FFO. The Compensation Committee establishes the performance goals based on a target bonus at 75% of base salary, which would be met for 2014 if we achieved positive Adjusted EPS and normalized FFO of \$2.60 for 2014. The maximum bonus was set at 200% of base salary, which would be met if we achieved normalized FFO of \$2.79. The Compensation Committee set

the normalized FFO bonus range as set forth in the following table so that the target bonus would be met if we achieved positive Adjusted EPS and normalized FFO that fell between our initial guidance.

Normalized FFO per share	Bonus % of Actual Salary	Normalized FFO per share	Bonus % of Actual Salary
\$2.48	5.59%	\$2.61	80.26%
\$2.49	11.22%	\$2.62	85.55%
\$2.50	16.85%	\$2.63	90.84%
\$2.51	22.48%	\$2.64	96.13%
\$2.52	28.11%	\$2.65	101.42%
\$2.53	33.74%	\$2.66	106.71%
\$2.54	39.37%	\$2.67	112.00%
\$2.55	45.00%	\$2.68	119.00%
\$2.56	51.00%	\$2.69	126.00%
\$2.57	57.00%	\$2.70	133.00%
\$2.58	63.00%	\$2.71	140.00%
\$2.59	69.00%	\$2.72	147.00%
\$2.60	75.00%	\$2.73	154.00%
	(Target)	\$2.74	161.65%
		\$2.75	169.32%
		\$2.76	176.99%
		\$2.77	184.66%
		\$2.78	192.33%
		\$2.79	200.00%

Adjusted EPS and normalized FFO are adjusted for any of the items set forth in Section 11.2 of the Company's Amended and Restated 2008 Stock Incentive Plan, or the 2008 Plan. For reconciliation of EPS and FFO figures, please refer to the reconciliation disclosure in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Funds from Operations."

Based on our Adjusted EPS of \$1.92 and normalized FFO per share of \$2.65 for 2014, bonuses were earned at 101.42% of salary and the following cash incentive plan compensation was awarded to our NEOs in February 2015 consistent with such earned amount:

Name	2014 Cash Incentive Compensation
Damon T. Hininger	\$822,750
David M. Garfinkle ⁽¹⁾	\$287,070
Harley G. Lappin	\$382,793
Anthony L. Grande	\$382,793
Steven E. Groom	\$312,610
Todd J Mullenger ⁽²⁾	\$385,395

(1) Mr. Garfinkle's bonus for 2014 was pro-rated for the different payout targets and salaries in place before and after his promotion to Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer in May 2014.

(2) Under the terms of our transition agreement with Mr. Mullenger, Mr. Mullenger was eligible to participate in the Company's cash compensation incentive plan or similar plan established by the Company for its executive officers for the year ended December 31, 2014.

The 2014 performance goals for normalized FFO were established within the context of a three-year strategic plan for cumulative normalized FFO growth, with target normalized FFO growth for each year establishing the subsequent year's threshold performance goal. For 2015, the Compensation Committee determined to continue the umbrella annual cash incentive plan on substantially the same terms as in 2014 (with adjusted performance goals for normalized FFO).

Performance-Based Equity Incentive Compensation

One of our key compensation philosophies is that long-term stock-based incentive compensation strengthens and aligns the interests of our executive officers with our stockholders. Equity incentive awards are generally granted to our executive officers on an annual basis. Our pay mix is shifted toward equity compensation, as we believe equity best ties the compensation of our executive officers with the interest of our stockholders. For 2014, we granted all of our equity in the form of performance-based RSUs. Prior to 2013, the Committee employed a compensation strategy utilizing a mix of stock options, with time-based vesting, and restricted stock units, with performance-based vesting based on our Adjusted EPS. In 2013, given the transition to being a REIT, the Committee did not grant stock options and temporarily moved away from performance-based restricted stock units and granted only time-based vesting restricted stock units, or RSUs. In February 2014, in order to continue to align management's interests with those of our stockholders, to tie compensation to our performance as a REIT and to put a substantial portion of the executive's pay at risk based on our performance, the Compensation Committee determined to grant performance-based RSUs in 2014 that are substantially similar to the performance-based RSUs granted in 2012, except that the performance metric is based on our normalized FFO per share, with goals that are based on cumulative normalized FFO growth rate. The value of the performance-based RSUs granted in 2014 was determined after consideration of many factors, including a comparison to market practices as reflected by the 2014 LTIP Fair Value from the PwC report for 2014 (discussed above), the desire to provide a modest (5%) increase from 2013 grant levels, internal equity, and the focus on equity compensation in our pay mix to encourage long-term value creation, retention and alignment with stockholder interests.

Name	2014 Performance-based RSUs Granted	Grant Date Fair Value	2014 LTIP Fair Value Of Peer Group
Damon T. Hininger	60,095	\$1,946,477	\$2,500,000
David M. Garfinkle ⁽¹⁾	28,896	\$937,127	\$850,000
Harley G. Lappin	28,933	\$937,140	\$850,000
Anthony L. Grande	28,933	\$937,140	\$850,000
Steven E. Groom	23,827	\$771,757	\$735,000
Todd J Mullenger	28,933	\$937,140	\$850,000

(1) Mr. Garfinkle received an initial grant of 9,137 performance-based RSUs in February 2014 and in connection with his appointment as Chief Financial Officer in May 2014, the Compensation Committee granted Mr. Garfinkle an additional award of 19,759 performance-based RSUs.

Performance-based RSUs Granted. The performance-based RSUs granted in 2014 vest based on our achievement of normalized FFO per share goals in each year of the three year vesting period. Accordingly, the performance-based RSUs are divided into three equal tranches of 33% of the granted amount that will vest over a three year period, with 1/3rd, or a tranche, vesting if we achieve the pre-established maximum normalized FFO performance goal for that year's tranche. The yearly goals are based on pre-established compounded annual growth rates. Given that the annual FFO performance goals are cumulative, to the extent the maximum normalized FFO performance goal for a particular year is missed, if in the subsequent year the cumulative maximum normalized FFO performance goal is achieved, the tranche for the year in which the maximum goal is achieved will vest, plus each prior unvested tranche for the prior years (where maximum normalized FFO was not achieved) will also vest. Any unvested tranche of performance-based RSUs remaining outstanding at the end of the three-year performance period will vest, if at all, if and to the extent the annual normalized FFO per share meets or exceeds the pre-established performance goals for that year's tranche as set forth in the table below. As to vesting at the end of the three-year period, no tranche of performance-based RSUs will vest if the normalized FFO for that year is below \$2.01. Normalized FFO for purposes of these award is our normalized FFO as reported in our quarterly and annual reports. The normalized FFO performance goals were established based on our historical FFO and five-year compounding of the maximum growth rates. The cumulative nature of the normalized FFO metrics is designed to reward cumulative performance in order to incentivize management based on the entirety of the three-year period and not just based on one particular year of performance. The table below sets forth the performance goals for each year in the three-year vesting period for the performance RSUs granted in 2014.

Maximum Normalized FFO Required for Vesting Of Tranche During the Three- Year Period		Vesting of Tranche at End of Three-Year Period Based on Performance for the Year Specified		
Period/Tranche	5% Annual Growth	50% Vesting	75% Vesting	100% Vesting
2014	\$2.44	\$2.01	\$2.21	\$2.44
2015	\$2.56	\$2.01	\$2.24	\$2.51
2016	\$2.69	\$2.01	\$2.26	\$2.59

Based on our normalized FFO per share of \$2.65 for 2014, the full tranche allocated to 2014 vested, representing one third of the shares granted, as set forth in the table below. The 2014 performance-based RSUs vest, if at all, on the later of (i) delivery of the audited financial statements by the Company's certified independent registered public accountants for the respective fiscal year periods 2014, 2015 and 2016 and (ii) the applicable anniversary of the grant date. Thus, the tranche allocated to 2014 vested, and shares were issued, on February 25, 2015.

Name	2014 Performance-based RSUs that Vested
Damon T. Hininger	20,031
David M. Garfinkle	9,632
Harley G. Lappin	9,644
Anthony L. Grande	9,644
Steven E. Groom	7,942
Todd J Mullenger ⁽¹⁾	9,644

(1) Mr. Mullenger is eligible to continue vesting in his outstanding equity awards after he stepped down from service as our Chief Financial Officer throughout the continuation of his employment as special assistant to the Chief Executive Officer.

Outstanding Performance-based RSUs. Prior to 2013, we granted performance-based RSUs that vest over a three year period based upon our achieving Adjusted EPS performance objectives established by the Committee (achievable in annual increments or in the aggregate over a three-year period), with annual vesting substantially similar to the performance-based awards granted in 2014 (e.g., vesting in one-third tranches per year if maximum performance is achieved, if maximum performance is not achieved then no vesting to occur below a base Adjusted EPS performance level and incremental vesting from 50% to 100% of the award as annual EPS targets are achieved. The EPS goals for vesting of performance-based RSUs were based on research conducted by PwC on multi-year EPS growth rates among the peer companies as well as general industry information. Adjusted EPS is calculated in the same manner under the annual cash incentive program and for determining if our performance vested RSUs have vested.

The outstanding performance-based RSUs granted in 2011 and 2012 were subject to performance vesting conditions such that 1/3 of the grant amount would vest if we achieved maximum compounded EPS growth as of December 31 of each year in the three year performance period. The number of performance-based RSUs granted in 2011 and 2012 represented maximum performance of the Adjusted EPS goals. All of the performance-based RSU tranches that could vest (i) in 2014 based on December 31, 2013 Adjusted EPS performance, and (ii) in 2015 based on December 31, 2014 Adjusted EPS performance, vested, all of which are illustrated in the table below. The maximum Adjusted EPS goal and actual Adjusted EPS for each such vesting are set forth in the following table.

Vesting of Performance-based RSUs Granted Before 2013 ⁽¹⁾	Maximum Adjusted EPS Goal for 2013 Performance	Actual Adjusted EPS for 2013 Performance ⁽¹⁾	Maximum Adjusted EPS Goal for 2014 Performance	Actual Adjusted EPS for 2014 Performance ⁽¹⁾
Third tranche of 2011 grant vested in 2014	\$1.47	\$1.92	n/a	n/a
Second tranche of 2012 grant vested in 2014	\$1.47	\$1.92	n/a	n/a
Third tranche of 2012 grant vested in 2015	n/a	n/a	\$1.59	\$1.92

(1) The amounts in each tranche are equal to 1/3 of the number of performance-based RSUs granted.

The 2014 performance-based RSUs vest, if at all, on the later of (i) delivery of the audited financial statements by the Company's certified independent registered public accountants for the respective fiscal year periods 2014, 2015 and 2016 and (ii) the applicable anniversary of the grant date. Thus, the vesting occurred, and shares were issued, on February 25, 2015.

Dividend Equivalent Rights. The performance-based RSUs and time-based RSUs have associated dividend equivalent rights that are earned based on cash dividends paid by the Company while the award is unvested and outstanding. The Dividend Equivalent Rights are paid in cash, and do not vest and are not paid until, and then only to the extent, the associated performance-based RSUs or time-based RSUs vest and the underlying shares are issued. This further aligns the executive officer's interests with our stockholders, encourages dividend growth performance and does not result in any unearned compensation.

Non-Direct Compensation

Severance and Change in Control Benefits

We believe that reasonable severance and change in control benefits are necessary in order to recruit and retain effective senior managers. These severance benefits reflect the fact that it may be difficult for such executives to find comparable employment within a short period of time and are a product of a generally competitive recruiting environment within our industry. We also believe that a change in control arrangement will provide an executive security that will likely reduce any reluctance of an executive to pursue a change in control transaction that could be in the best interests of our stockholders. In addition, we have sought to maintain a high level of consistency in the contractual terms applicable to all members of the executive team. We maintain employment agreements with each of our executive officers that provide cash severance equal to their current base salary for terminations without cause, and double trigger payment of 2.99 times their base salary, plus certain other benefits in the event of termination of employment by the Company (other than for "cause") or resignation for "good reason" in connection with a "change in control".

The executive employment agreements and the potential costs in the event of a change in control are reviewed periodically by the Compensation Committee and the Committee stays abreast of developments and suggested best practices in compensation structure and design. The executive employment agreements were set to expire in December 2014. In 2014, we undertook a comprehensive review of the provisions of the executive employment agreements (including protections provided in the event of a change in control and compliance with applicable law) and on December 30, 2014 entered into new or revised employment agreements with each of our executives on substantially similar economic terms, updating the minimum salary payable under the agreement to equal the salary levels in effect for 2014, and updating the provisions to ensure compliance with applicable law, including Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code.

Under our equity award agreements, all outstanding equity awards would accelerate upon a change in control. The Compensation Committee believes that the single trigger equity acceleration encourages management to stay committed towards any potential transaction that may be in the best interests of our stockholders. For a detailed discussion of potential severance and change in control benefits, see "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control," beginning on page 47 of this Proxy Statement.

Mr. Mullenger stepped down from his position as our Chief Financial Officer, effective May 1, 2014 at which time we entered in to a Transition Agreement with him, consistent with past practices. Under the transition agreement, Mr. Mullenger will continue to be employed in a non-executive capacity performing certain transitional and other services as special assistant to the Chief Executive Officer for two years. He will be paid his \$380,000 salary, as in effect on May 1, 2014, for the first year, and \$190,000 for the second year, and he will be eligible to continue to vest in his outstanding awards throughout the two year period. As of May 1, 2014, he is no longer eligible to receive any equity awards and his participation in our annual cash incentive plan terminated effective as of January 1, 2015. The Transition Agreement provides for twelve months of his then current base monthly salary in the event of a termination without cause during the period of his Transition Agreement. The Compensation Committee determined that his arrangement was appropriate in order to effect the orderly succession and transition of Mr. Mullenger's duties and responsibilities.

Perquisites and Other Benefits

The Company has previously paid relocation expenses, either in the form of reimbursement or a lump sum payment, to the NEOs who have relocated to Nashville, Tennessee in order to assume their positions with the Company. We permit limited tax gross up payments to our executives to cover the income tax associated with the taxable portions (if any) of such relocation reimbursement payments. No such relocation and tax gross up payments were made to the named executive officers during 2014.

The named executive officers are also eligible for benefits generally available to and on the same terms as the Company's employees who are exempt for purposes of the Fair Labor Standards Act, including health insurance, disability insurance, dental insurance and group life insurance. Additionally, the Company pays supplemental life and long-term disability insurance premiums for the named executive officers. Pursuant to their employment agreements and in order to encourage community involvement, the named executive officers are also eligible for reimbursement for certain civic and professional memberships that are approved in advance. We also pay for physicals for executive officers up to \$2,000 per individual on an annual basis.

Retirement Plans

The Company maintains a 401(k) plan. The Company matches a percentage of eligible employee contributions to our qualified 401(k) Plan. Employer matching contributions are made in cash on a dollar-for-dollar basis up to 5% of the employee's base salary and are 100% vested immediately.

The Company also has a nonqualified deferred compensation plan covering our executive officers and certain key employees. Under the terms of the deferred compensation plan, participants are allowed to defer up to 50% of their annual base salary and 100% of their incentive cash bonus each plan year. The Company, in its discretion, may make matching contributions to the plan. Currently, the Company makes matching contributions equal to 100% of amounts deferred up to 5% of total cash compensation. The matching contribution is credited on a monthly basis, but is reduced at the end of the plan year for any matching amounts contributed to the participant's 401(k) account. Any compensation deferred and matching contributions, if any, earn a return based on a fixed rate that is established by the Company based on the return received by the Company on certain investments designated as a funding mechanism for meeting its obligations under the plan. Participants are 100% vested in amounts deferred under the deferred compensation plan and earnings on those amounts, while the matching contributions vest 20% after two years of service, 40% after three years of service, 80% after four years of service and 100% after five years of service, subject to accelerated vesting in the event of a change in control, death, disability or retirement (age 62).

Guidelines and Policies

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Since March 1, 2007, we have maintained stock ownership guidelines applicable to our executive officers and directors. The stock ownership guidelines are designed to align the economic interests of executive officers and directors with those of stockholders, and to discourage excessive risk-taking by management and directors.

The original guidelines provided that our executive officers are expected to own a fixed number of shares of common stock of the Company, as set forth in the table below. This fixed number equals three times such executive officer's base salary on their hire or promotion date divided by the Company's closing common stock price, as reported on the NYSE, on such date. The original guidelines also provided that the Company's non-executive directors are expected to own a fixed number of shares of common stock of the Company equal to four times such director's annual retainer (excluding any retainer for chairing or serving as a member of a committee) in effect as of March 1, 2012 or their later date of initial election or appointment to the Board, divided by the Company's closing common stock price, as reported on the NYSE, on such date.

The stock ownership guidelines applicable to our executive officers and our non-executive directors were amended by our Board in May 2013 to increase the number of shares the directors and officers of the Company are expected to own to give effect to the REIT conversion special dividend.

Executive officers and non-executive directors are required to achieve these ownership levels, subject to a limited hardship exemption, within five years following their date of hire or promotion, or initial appointment or election, as applicable, or (in the case of those serving at the time the guidelines were adopted) by March 1, 2012.

The following may be used in determining share ownership:

- shares of common stock owned outright by the executive officer or non-executive director and his or her immediate family members who share the same household, whether held individually or jointly;
- shares of restricted stock or restricted stock units where the restrictions have lapsed, even though such shares may be subject to an election made by the holder to defer receipt of the shares; and
- shares held in trusts or other legal entities established for estate planning purposes with respect to which the executive officer or non-executive director retains beneficial ownership (due to complexities of these arrangements, requests to include shares held in such arrangements must be reviewed and approved by the Committee).

The guidelines were based, in part, on information provided by PwC that summarized the frequency of such programs at Fortune 500 companies and reported on the most common types of such programs. Based on such research, the Board of Directors determined that ownership requirements were fair, yet challenging, ownership requirements and that five years was a reasonable time period during which executives and directors would be able to comply. The Committee believes that these ownership guidelines encourage executive officers and directors of the Company to act in the long-term interests of our stockholders, while discouraging excessive risk-taking.

Our guidelines and the compliance status of the Company's named executive officers as of the last quarterly review date of February 19, 2015 are shown in the table below.

Name	Shares Needed to Comply with Guidelines	Number of Shares Held	Compliance Date
Damon T. Hininger	87,138	128,025	10/15/2014
David M. Garfinkle	32,777	38,317	5/1/2019
Harley G. Lappin	47,759	26,261	6/1/2016
Anthony L. Grande	35,671	82,898	8/21/2013
Steven E. Groom	39,751	47,474	4/22/2015

Grant Timing Policy

To ensure that our equity compensation awards are granted appropriately, we have the following practices regarding the timing of equity compensation grants and for stock option exercise price determinations:

- Grants of equity awards for executive officers are typically made on the date of the February Compensation Committee meeting, after the Committee has had the opportunity to review full year results for the prior year and consider anticipated results for the current year.
- The Committee occasionally approves additional equity awards in certain special circumstances, such as upon an executive officer's initial employment with the Company, the promotion of an executive officer to a new position or in recognition of special contributions made by an executive officer. For grants to executive officers, all such grants are approved by the Committee with an effective date of grant on or after the date of such approval. If the grant date is after the date of approval, it is on a date that is specified by the Committee at the time of approval.
- The Company strives to ensure that equity grants are made following the public release of important information such as year-end results or anticipated results for the succeeding year.

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 limits the deductibility on the Company's tax return of compensation over \$1.0 million to the Chief Executive Officer or any of the other four most highly compensated executive officers serving at the end of the fiscal year unless, in general, a significant portion of the compensation paid is pursuant to a plan which is performance-related, non-discretionary, and has been approved by our stockholders. The Compensation Committee's actions with respect to Section 162(m) in 2014 were to make reasonable efforts to ensure that compensation was deductible to the extent permitted while simultaneously providing appropriate rewards for performance. The Committee intends to structure performance-based compensation awarded in the future to executive officers who may be subject to Section 162(m) in a manner that satisfies the relevant requirements. The Committee, however, reserves the authority to award non-deductible compensation as deemed appropriate. Further, because of ambiguities and uncertainties as to the application and interpretation of Section 162(m) and related regulations, no assurance can be given that compensation intended to satisfy the requirements for deductibility under Section 162(m) will in fact do so.

Report of the Compensation Committee

The following Report of the Compensation Committee does not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other Company filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent the Company specifically incorporates this Report by reference therein.

Our Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth above with our management. Taking this review and discussion into account, the undersigned Committee members recommended to the Board of Directors that the Board approve the inclusion of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis in our Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A for filing with the SEC.

Submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors:

Joseph V. Russell, Chair
Robert J. Dennis
John D. Correnti
Mark A. Emkes (since August 2014)
John R. Prann, Jr.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes the compensation earned or paid to our named executive officers for service in the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, with the exception of (i) Mr. Groom, who first became a named executive officer in 2013 and (ii) Mr. Garfinkle, who first became a named executive officer in 2014.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾	Option Awards (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) ⁽⁵⁾	Change in Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) ⁽⁶⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁷⁾	Total (\$)
Damon T. Hininger President and Chief Executive Officer	2014	\$811,231	\$1,946,477	-	\$822,750	\$14,533	\$71,126	\$3,666,117
	2013	\$737,231	\$1,853,782	-	\$344,066	\$20,910	\$326,471	\$3,282,460
	2012	\$675,231	\$882,756	\$882,751	\$189,942	\$16,126	\$125,629	\$2,772,435
David M. Garfinkle ⁽¹⁾ Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2014	\$323,013	\$937,127	-	\$287,070	\$3,073	\$26,124	\$1,576,407
Harley G. Lappin Executive Vice President and Chief Corrections Officer	2014	\$377,434	\$937,140	-	\$382,793	\$2,343	\$39,727	\$1,739,437
	2013	\$332,673	\$892,490	-	\$155,258	\$3,449	\$130,836	\$1,514,706
	2012	\$305,539	\$424,992	\$425,000	\$85,948	\$2,197	\$41,844	\$1,285,520
Anthony L. Grande Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer	2014	\$377,434	\$937,140	-	\$382,793	\$8,837	\$33,911	\$1,740,115
	2013	\$332,673	\$892,490	-	\$155,258	\$13,176	\$161,264	\$1,554,861
	2012	\$305,539	\$424,992	\$425,000	\$85,948	\$10,526	\$66,895	\$1,318,900
Steven E. Groom Executive Vice President and General Counsel	2014	\$308,233	\$771,757	-	\$312,610	-	\$28,852	\$1,421,452
	2013	\$282,319	\$735,009	-	\$131,758	-	\$138,599	\$1,287,685
Todd J Mullenger ⁽²⁾ Former Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2014	\$379,999	\$937,140	-	\$385,395	\$59,546	\$24,295	\$1,786,375
	2013	\$355,492	\$892,490	-	\$165,908	\$90,041	\$172,055	\$1,675,986
	2012	\$325,908	\$424,992	\$425,000	\$91,678	\$69,518	\$78,559	\$1,415,655

- (1) Mr. Garfinkle was appointed as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer effective as of May 1, 2014. Compensation reported is for the full 2014 fiscal year.
- (2) Mr. Mullenger stepped down from his position as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer effective as of May 1, 2014 and then began service as a non-executive employee. Compensation reported is for the full 2014 fiscal year.
- (3) The amounts shown in this column represent the aggregate grant-date fair value of restricted stock units ("RSUs") granted during the given year calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Performance-based RSUs granted in 2014 vest based upon achieving normalized FFO performance objectives that were pre-established by the Compensation Committee. Time-based RSUs granted in 2013 vest in 1/3rd increments per year commencing with the first anniversary of the grant date. Performance-based RSUs granted in 2012 vest based upon achieving EPS performance objectives that were pre-established by the Compensation Committee. The grant date values for the 2012 and 2014 performance-based RSUs presented reflect the probable outcome that the performance conditions will be met as estimated on the date of grant. At the time of grant for the 2014 performance-based RSUs, it was determined that maximum performance under the performance condition was the probable outcome, and thus the grant date fair value was determined based on \$32.39 per share (reflecting such probability) multiplied by the maximum number of shares that may vest, which equates to the number granted. All grants of equity awards were made under the Company's 2008 Plan and are subject to individual award agreements. RSUs, including our performance-based RSUs, earn dividend equivalent rights, that accumulate and are paid in cash when and only to the extent the underlying award vests.
- (4) No options were granted to NEOs in 2013 or 2014. The amounts shown in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of option awards for the given year, calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. All grants of options to purchase the Company's common stock were made under the Company's 2008 Plan and are subject to individual award agreements. Options do not earn dividends or dividend equivalents. On May 20, 2013, the number of shares and exercise price of all then outstanding options were proportionately adjusted to give effect to the REIT conversion special dividend. No accounting expense was recognized in connection with such adjustments.
- (5) The amounts shown in this column reflect cash incentive plan compensation earned pursuant to the Company's 2012, 2013 and 2014 Cash Incentive Plans. The 2014 Cash Incentive Plan is discussed in further detail on page 32 under the heading "Annual Cash Incentive Plan Compensation" in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement.

- (6) The amounts shown in this column represent above-market earnings on amounts that the named executive officers chose to defer pursuant to the Company's Executive Deferred Compensation Plan ("DCP"), which is more fully described under the heading "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation." Amounts shown are based on the Company's fixed rate for 2014 of 5.6%, and 120% of the applicable federal long-term rate, with compounding (as prescribed under section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, (26 U.S.C. 1274(d))) of 3.99%.
- (7) The amounts shown as All Other Compensation for 2014 include the following:

Name	401(k) Plan Matching Contributions	DCP Matching Contributions	Life Insurance Premiums	Long Term Disability Premiums ^(a)
Damon T. Hininger	\$13,000	\$44,765	\$2,400	\$10,961
David M. Garfinkle	\$13,000	\$6,262	\$1,609	\$5,253
Harley G. Lappin	\$13,000	\$13,635	\$6,243	\$6,849
Anthony L. Grande	\$13,000	\$13,635	\$2,274	\$5,002
Steven E. Groom	\$13,000	-	\$8,529	\$7,323
Todd J Mullenger	\$13,000	\$8,295	\$2,102	\$898

(a) The Company pays the long term disability premiums of its executive officers and certain other employees, but does not pay such premiums for all employees.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2014

The following table sets forth the grants of plan-based awards that were made to the named executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014. No options were granted to our named executive officers in 2014.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			Estimated Possible Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾			Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾
		Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum	
Damon T. Hininger	2/19/2014				10,016	(2)	60,095	\$1,946,477
	2/19/2014	\$45,348	\$608,423	\$1,622,462				
David M. Garfinkle ⁽⁴⁾	2/19/2014				1,523	(2)	9,137	\$295,947
	5/14/2014				3,293	(2)	19,759	\$641,180
	2/19/2014	\$15,827	\$211,172	\$557,205				
Harley G. Lappin	2/19/2014				4,822	(2)	28,933	\$937,140
	2/19/2014	\$21,099	\$283,075	\$754,868				
Anthony L. Grande	2/19/2014				4,822	(2)	28,933	\$937,140
	2/19/2014	\$21,099	\$283,075	\$754,868				
Steven E. Groom	2/19/2014				3,971	(2)	23,827	\$771,757
	2/19/2014	\$17,230	\$231,175	\$616,466				
Todd J Mullenger ⁽⁵⁾	2/19/2014				4,822	(2)	28,933	\$937,140
	2/19/2014	\$21,242	\$284,999	\$759,998				

- (1) The amounts shown in these columns reflect the threshold (5.59% of actual salary), target (75% of actual salary) and maximum (200% of actual salary) amounts that each of the named executive officers could have earned for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 pursuant to the Company's 2014 Cash Incentive Plan, based on positive Adjusted EPS and normalized FFO per share, as discussed in further detail on page 32 under the heading "Annual Cash Incentive Plan Compensation" in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement. The amounts shown in these columns for Mr. Garfinkle reflect lower threshold, target and maximum amounts that applied prior to his promotion to Chief Financial Officer in May 2014. The amounts actually awarded to each of the named executive officers are reflected in the Summary Compensation Table.
- (2) The amounts shown in these columns reflect as threshold, the minimum number of performance-based RSUs that could vest if we did not achieve any of the normalized FFO performance goals in the first two years of the three year vesting period and achieved the minimum performance goal in the third year, resulting in 50% of the 1/3rd tranche vesting. Maximum reflects vesting in full of all of the performance-based RSUs granted, which occurs when maximum performance under the normalized FFO per share performance goals is achieved. Target is not established, as vesting may range from zero to 100% of the number of performance-based RSUs granted. The performance-based RSUs were awarded pursuant to the Company's 2008 Plan and have dividend equivalent rights payable in cash, but only to the extent and when the performance-based RSUs vest and the underlying shares are issued. The performance-based RSUs are discussed in further detail beginning on page 34 under the heading "Performance-Based Equity Incentive Compensation" in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement.
- (3) The amounts shown in this column represent the aggregate grant-date fair value of the performance-based RSUs granted in 2014 calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. These awards vest in 1/3rd increments based upon achieving normalized FFO per share performance objectives that were pre-established by the Compensation Committee. At the time of grant for the 2014 performance-based RSUs, it was determined that maximum performance under the performance condition was the probable outcome, and thus the grant date fair value was determined based on \$32.39 per share for the February 19, 2014 grants and \$32.45 per share for the May 14, 2014 grant to Mr. Garfinkle (reflecting such probability) multiplied by the maximum number of shares that may vest, which equates to the number granted.
- (4) Mr. Garfinkle received an initial grant of 9,137 performance-based RSUs in February 2014 while serving as Vice President of Finance and Controller. In May 2014, in connection with his appointment to Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, the Compensation Committee granted Mr. Garfinkle an additional award of 19,759 RSUs.
- (5) Mr. Mullenger stepped down from his position as our Chief Financial Officer effective May 1, 2014. Under the terms of our transition agreement with Mr. Mullenger, he is not entitled to receive equity-based awards after May 1, 2014, but outstanding equity-based awards granted to Mr. Mullenger prior to this date will continue to vest in accordance with their respective terms until April 30, 2016 (or, if earlier, the date on which Mr. Mullenger's employment with the Company terminates), but thereafter will not vest in any additional amount and shall be exercisable only to the extent specified in the applicable award agreement.

Employment and Transition Agreements

On December 30, 2014, the Company entered into new employment agreements with each of our named executive officers, other than Mr. Mullenger, which replaced the existing employment agreements that were scheduled to expire on December 31, 2014. Each agreement is effective as of January 1, 2015 and has an initial term that expires on December 31, 2015, subject to two automatic one-year renewals unless either party provides notice of non-renewal at least 60 days in advance of the expiration of the then current term. Each of these agreements provides for a minimum annual salary that is consistent with the base salary in effect on December 30, 2014. In addition, during the term, the executives are eligible to participate in all compensation or employee benefit plans or programs maintained by the Company for the benefit of its salaried employees or senior executives from time to time. These plans and programs may include health and life insurance. In addition, during the term, these agreements provide for reimbursement for certain professional and civic memberships that are approved in advance by the Company. Each of the employment agreements continued the severance benefits and provisions that were in effect under the previous agreements and which are more fully discussed under "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control."

The Company entered into a transition agreement with Mr. Mullenger, effective as of May 1, 2014, pursuant to which his prior employment agreement terminated and Mr. Mullenger agreed to be employed as special assistant to the chief executive officer and perform certain transition services from May 1, 2014 to April 30, 2016. Mr. Mullenger's base salary is \$380,000 for the first year and \$190,000 for his second and final year of employment under this agreement. Mr. Mullenger is eligible to participate in the Company's cash compensation incentive plan only for the year ending December 31, 2014. Mr. Mullenger is not entitled to receive equity-based awards after May 1, 2014, but outstanding equity-based awards granted to Mr. Mullenger prior to this date will continue to vest in accordance with their respective terms until April 30, 2016 (or, if earlier, the date on which Mr. Mullenger's employment with the Company terminates), but thereafter will not vest in any additional amount and shall be exercisable only to the extent specified in the applicable award agreement. Mr. Mullenger's severance benefits for termination without cause from his employment agreement were continued under the transition agreement and are more fully discussed under "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control."

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2014 Fiscal Year-End

The following table sets forth information concerning (1) options, (2) unvested time-based RSUs and (3) unearned performance-based RSUs for each of the named executive officers that were outstanding as of December 31, 2014. The following awards reflect (a) the equitable and proportionate adjustments made to our outstanding options as a result of the REIT conversion special dividend of \$6.66 per share paid in May 2013, resulting in an increase in the outstanding number of options and a corresponding reduction in the exercise price, and (b) the dividend equivalents that were awarded as a result of the REIT conversion special dividend on the then outstanding RSUs.

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable ⁽¹⁾	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested ⁽²⁾	Market Value of Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested ⁽²⁾	Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
					<i>Time-based RSUs</i>		<i>Performance-based RSUs</i>	
Damon T. Hininger	6,612		\$11.11	2/16/2015	39,176	\$1,423,656	13,125 ⁽³⁾	\$476,963 ⁽³⁾
	13,409		\$22.57	2/16/2017			60,095 ⁽⁴⁾	\$2,183,852 ⁽⁴⁾
	38,112		\$22.72	2/20/2018				
	14,027		\$24.00	8/14/2018				
	35,324		\$17.38	8/13/2019				
	126,924		\$17.57	2/18/2020				
	107,298		\$20.78	2/23/2021				
	92,848	46,425	\$22.34	3/16/2022				
David M. Garfinkle	11,345		\$12.14	2/15/2016	5,957	\$216,477	1,996 ⁽³⁾	\$72,535 ⁽³⁾
	13,409		\$22.57	2/16/2017			28,896 ⁽⁴⁾	\$1,050,081 ⁽⁴⁾
	16,008		\$22.72	2/20/2018				
	24,012		\$9.13	2/18/2019				
	19,385		\$17.57	2/18/2020				
	12,236	4,078	\$20.78	2/23/2021				
	10,587	10,588	\$22.34	3/16/2022				
Harley G. Lappin					18,862	\$685,445	6,319 ⁽³⁾	\$229,632 ⁽³⁾
	44,702	22,351	\$22.34	3/16/2022			28,933 ⁽⁴⁾	\$1,051,425 ⁽⁴⁾
Anthony L. Grande					18,862	\$685,445	6,319 ⁽³⁾	\$229,632 ⁽³⁾
		22,351	\$22.34	3/16/2022			28,933 ⁽⁴⁾	\$1,051,425 ⁽⁴⁾
Steven E. Groom					15,534	\$564,506	5,204 ⁽³⁾	\$189,113 ⁽³⁾
							23,827 ⁽⁴⁾	\$865,873 ⁽⁴⁾
		18,407	\$22.34	3/16/2022				
Todd J Mullenger					18,862	\$685,445	6,319 ⁽³⁾	\$229,632 ⁽³⁾
		22,351	\$22.34	3/16/2022			28,933 ⁽⁴⁾	\$1,051,425 ⁽⁴⁾

(1) All currently unvested options vest in substantially equal one-third annual increments commencing on the anniversary of the grant date over the first three years of the 10-year option term. All of the outstanding unvested options were granted in 2012 and will vest on March 16, 2015, except for Mr. Garfinkle, whose unvested options granted in 2011 will vest on February 23, 2015 and whose unvested options granted in 2012 will vest in equal amounts on March 16, 2015 and March 16, 2016.

(2) Time-based RSUs were granted in 2013 vest in substantially equal one-third annual increments commencing on the anniversary of the grant date of February 21, 2013. Value shown is based on the number of outstanding time-based unvested RSUs and dividend equivalents awarded as a result of the REIT conversion special dividend multiplied by the closing stock price of our common stock on December 31, 2014 of \$36.34.

- (3) Performance-based RSUs granted before 2013 vest and are thus earned based upon achieving Adjusted EPS performance objectives pre-established by the Compensation Committee, with 1/3rd of the amount granted being earned and vested per year if we achieve maximum performance under the Adjusted EPS goals for that year. The vesting based on such year, however, does not occur until after year-end delivery of the audited financial statements by the Company's certified independent registered public accountants for the respective fiscal year, or one year anniversary, whichever is later. The table reflects the last tranche of performance-based RSUs that could be earned and vested based on our Adjusted EPS and was outstanding on December 31, 2014; these performance-based RSUs were fully earned and vested on March 16, 2015 based on our December 31, 2014 Adjusted EPS performance obtaining maximum goals for 2014. For further discussion of the vesting of performance-based restricted stock units, see "Performance-Based Equity Incentive Compensation" in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement.
- (4) Performance-based RSUs granted in 2014 vest and are thus earned based upon achieving pre-established goals for normalized FFO per share that are pre-established by the Compensation Committee, with 1/3rd of the amount granted being earned and vested per year if we achieve maximum performance under the FFO per share goal for that year. If maximum performance is not achieved, then the 1/3rd tranche is not vested and is subject to vesting in the subsequent year or at the end of the three year performance period based on performance against pre-established goals. Based on our achieving maximum performance under the normalized FFO goal for 2014, 1/3rd of the performance-based RSUs vested, however, the vesting date does not occur until delivery of the audited financial statements by the Company's certified independent registered public accountants for the respective fiscal year, or one year anniversary, whichever is later. This table thus includes the 1/3rd tranche that vested in 2015 based on 2014 performance. For further discussion of the performance-based restricted stock units, see "Performance-Based Equity Incentive Compensation" in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2014

The following table sets forth information regarding the exercise of stock options and the vesting of RSUs and performance-based RSUs during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 for each of the named executive officers.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) ⁽²⁾
Damon T. Hininger	-	-	46,825	\$1,543,429
David M. Garfinkle	24,568	\$542,775	7,118	\$234,621
Harley G. Lappin	33,963	\$617,841	20,117	\$662,439
Anthony L. Grande	117,119	\$1,601,371	22,543	\$743,055
Steven E. Groom	65,631	\$1,005,715	18,565	\$611,933
Todd J Mullenger	96,361	\$1,438,951	22,543	\$743,055

- (1) The value realized upon exercise of stock options reflects the price at which shares acquired upon exercise of the stock options were sold or valued for income tax purposes, net of the exercise price for acquiring shares.
- (2) The value realized on vesting of RSUs and performance-based RSUs was calculated as the product of the closing price of a share of our common stock on the vesting date, multiplied by the number of units vested plus dividend equivalents awarded as a result of the REIT conversion special dividend. The performance-based RSUs that vested in 2014 were earned and vested based on our achievement of maximum Adjusted EPS goal as of December 31, 2013.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation in 2014

The following table sets forth information concerning contributions made by the named executive officers and the Company pursuant to the Company's Executive Deferred Compensation Plan as well as aggregate individual account balances as of December 31, 2014.

Name	Executive Contributions In 2014 ⁽¹⁾	Registrant Contributions in 2014 ⁽²⁾	Aggregate Earnings In 2014 ⁽³⁾	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions In 2014	Aggregate Balance at 12/31/2014 ⁽⁴⁾
Damon T. Hininger	\$49,653	\$44,765	\$50,548	-	\$997,548
David M. Garfinkle	\$19,262	\$6,262	\$10,690	-	\$216,610
Harley G. Lappin	\$18,872	\$13,635	\$8,148	-	\$175,052
Anthony L. Grande	\$26,635	\$13,635	\$30,738	-	\$600,614
Steven E. Groom	-	-	-	-	-
Todd J Mullenger	\$174,274	\$8,295	\$207,116	(\$1,000,833)	\$3,015,977

(1) Of the amounts shown in this column, the following amounts are included in the "Salary" column of the Summary Compensation Table for 2014: Mr. Hininger - \$32,449; Mr. Garfinkle - \$16,151; Mr. Lappin - \$18,872; Mr. Grande - \$18,872; and Mr. Mullenger - \$14,615; the remaining amounts are included in the "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" of the Summary Compensation Table for 2013.

(2) Of the amounts shown in this column, the following amounts are also reported in the "All Other Compensation" column of the Summary Compensation Table for 2014: Mr. Hininger - \$44,765; Mr. Garfinkle - \$6,262; Mr. Lappin - \$13,635; Mr. Grande - \$13,635; and Mr. Mullenger - \$8,295.

(3) Of the amounts shown in this column, the following amounts are reported in the "Change in Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings" column of the Summary Compensation Table for 2014: Mr. Hininger - \$14,533; Mr. Garfinkle - \$3,073; Mr. Lappin - \$2,343; Mr. Grande - \$8,837; and Mr. Mullenger - \$59,546.

(4) Of the amounts shown in this column, the following amounts were reported as compensation to the Named Executive Officer in the Company's Summary Compensation Table for 2014, 2013 and 2012: Mr. Hininger (\$91,747 for 2014, \$101,211 for 2013 and \$129,911 for 2012); Mr. Garfinkle (\$25,486 for 2014); Mr. Lappin (\$34,850 for 2014, \$3,449 for 2013, and \$65,105 for 2012); Mr. Grande (\$41,344 for 2014, \$45,754 for 2013 and \$61,262 for 2012); and Mr. Mullenger (\$82,456 for 2014, \$437,054 for 2013 and \$356,175 for 2012).

During 2002, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approved the Company's adoption of a non-qualified deferred compensation plan for certain senior executives, including the named executive officers (the "Executive Deferred Compensation Plan"). The Executive Deferred Compensation Plan is an unfunded plan maintained for the purpose of providing participating executives with the opportunity to defer a portion of their compensation. Pursuant to the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, participating executives may elect to contribute on a pre-tax basis up to 50% of their base salary and up to 100% of their cash bonus. The Company matches 100% of contributions up to 5% of total cash compensation. The matching contribution is credited on a monthly basis, but is reduced at the end of the plan year for any matching amounts contributed to the participant's 401(k) account. The Company also contributes a fixed rate of return on balances in the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, determined at the beginning of each plan year. Participants are 100% vested in amounts deferred under the plan and earnings on those amounts, while the matching contributions vest 20% after two years of service, 40% after three years of service, 80% after four years of service and 100% after five years of service, which is the same vesting for discretionary matching contributions under the 401(k) Plan. Each Participant, however, shall become 100% vested in the matching contribution amounts upon termination of employment by reason of death, Disability or Retirement or upon the occurrence of a Change in Control; provided, however, that the Participant shall not become vested upon the occurrence of a Change in Control to the extent such vesting would cause any portion of his Deferred Compensation Benefits to constitute an "excess parachute payment" under Internal Revenue Code Section 280G.

Distributions to senior executives are generally payable no earlier than five years subsequent to the date an executive becomes a participant in the Plan, or upon termination of employment, at the election of the participant, but not later than the 15th day of the month following the month the individual attains age 65.

During 2014, the Company provided a fixed return of 5.6% to participants in the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, which rate was based on the return received by the Company on the life insurance policies the

Company has purchased on the lives of certain participating executives, including each of the named executive officers. The life insurance policies are intended to partially fund distributions from the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan and the Company is the sole beneficiary of such policies. The Company has established an irrevocable Rabbi Trust to secure the plan's obligations. However, assets in the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan are subject to creditor claims in the event of bankruptcy.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The following benefits are provided under the employment agreements with each of our Named Executive Officers dated December 30, 2014, other than Mr. Mullenger, whose benefits are provided under his Transition Agreement dated May 1, 2014, and the terms of the award agreements for our equity awards and our various benefit plans, as specified. Our employment agreements were renewed in December 2014 on substantially similar terms as under the then existing agreements that were set to expire on December 31, 2014. The updated agreements were revised to reflect the updated base salaries of the executives.

Retirement. In the event of retirement (generally after attaining age 62), our equity award agreements provide that vested options would be exercisable for the remaining stated term of the option (as opposed to a voluntary or for cause termination in which case the Named Executive Officer will generally only have three months following termination to exercise their vested options), and matching contributions under the Executive Deferred Contribution Plan would become 100% vested. Mr. Groom is the only named executive officer who is retirement eligible.

Death or Disability. In the event of death or disability, benefits under our disability plan and payments under our life insurance plan, as applicable, would be payable, which, in the event of death, would equal twice the executive's compensation subject to certain caps. In addition, matching contributions under the Executive Deferred Contribution Plan would become 100% vested.

In accordance with the terms of our equity award agreements, in the event of the death or disability of a named executive officer (1) all RSUs, including performance-based RSUs, will become immediately and fully vested and non-forfeitable and (2) all unvested options that have not earlier terminated or expired in accordance with their terms will automatically vest in full and will be exercisable until the expiration of their stated term.

Termination Without Cause or for Good Reason. In accordance with the effective employment agreements with our current executive officers, if we terminate the employment of the executive without "cause" or if the executive terminates the employment for "good reason" we generally are required to pay a cash severance amount equal to the executive's annual base salary then in effect, payable in installments in accordance with the terms of the agreements. This same severance benefit is payable to Mr. Mullenger under his Transition Agreement, but only for a termination without cause, and not for a termination for good reason.

Change in Control. In accordance with the terms of our equity award agreements, in the event of a change of control (1) all RSUs including performance-based RSUs, will become immediately and fully vested and non-forfeitable and (2) all unvested options that have not earlier terminated or expired in accordance with their terms will automatically vest in full and will be exercisable until the expiration of their stated term.

Our Amended and Restated 1997 Employee Share Incentive Plan (pursuant to which certain options remain outstanding, but no further options are being granted) provides that upon a "change in control" or "potential change in control," as defined in the plan, the value of all outstanding share options granted under the plan, to the extent vested, will be cashed out on the basis of a "change in control price," which is generally based on the highest price paid per share of common stock on the NYSE at any time during a 60-day period prior to the occurrence of the "change in control" event.

Our Executive Deferred Compensation Plan provides that upon a change in control, the matching contributions would become 100% vested, unless such vesting would cause any portion of his Deferred Compensation Benefits to constitute an "excess parachute payment" under Internal Revenue Code Section 280G.

Qualifying Termination Within 180 days of a Change in Control. Pursuant to each of our effective employment agreements with our current executive officers, in the event of a termination by the Company (other than for "cause") or, subject to certain procedural requirements, termination by the executive for "good reason" within one-hundred eighty (180) days following a change in control, the executive will be entitled to receive a lump sum cash

payment equal to 2.99 times his base salary then in effect, and the executive will continue to be covered under existing life, medical, disability and health insurance plans for a period of one year. All severance payments are made up front promptly after the time of termination in a lump sum payment in order to make a clean separation from, and avoid continued entanglement with, the executive.

Definitions. Our effective employment agreements with our named executive officers and our equity plans generally provide for the following definitions:

The definition of “Good Reason” means when the executive terminates employment with the Company due to a material reduction in the duties, powers or authority of the executive as an officer or employee of the Company. A termination under these circumstances shall be due to Good Reason only if (A) the executive notifies the Company of the existence of the condition that otherwise constitutes Good Reason within thirty (30) days of the initial existence of the condition, (B) the Company fails to remedy the condition within thirty (30) days following its receipt of executive’s notice of the condition constituting Good Reason (the “Cure Period”) and (C) if the Company fails to remedy the condition constituting Good Reason during the Cure Period, the executive terminates employment with the Company due to the condition within thirty (30) days of the expiration of the Cure Period.

The definition of “Cause” includes, among other things, the death or permanent disability of the executive, conviction of certain felonies or criminal acts, willful or material wrongdoing (including dishonesty or fraud), material breach by the executive of his employment agreement or of his fiduciary duty to the Company or its stockholders, and intentional violation of any applicable law or regulation affecting the Company in a material respect, as determined by the board of directors, in each case, if the event, action or breach can be cured, is not cured within 20 days.

The definition of “Change in Control” means:

- a “change in the ownership of the Company”;
- a “change in the effective control of the Company”; or
- a “change in the ownership of a substantial portion of the assets of the Company,”

as such terms are defined in Section 1.409A-3(i)(5) of the Internal Revenue Treasury Regulations.

Table of Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The table below reflects the amount of compensation payable to each of the named executive officers in the event of termination of such executive’s employment. The amount of compensation payable to each named executive officer upon a change of control, qualifying termination in connection with a change in control, involuntary termination not for cause, and in the event of disability or death of the executive is shown below. The amounts assume that such event was effective as of December 31, 2014, and thus do not include amounts earned through such time, and are estimates of the awards and amounts that would be paid out to the executives upon their termination. The amounts shown do not include: (i) benefits earned during the term of our named executive officers’ employment that are available to all salaried employees, such as accrued vacation, and (ii) 2014 cash incentives which were earned as of December 31, 2014. The actual awards and amounts to be paid out can only be determined at the time of such executive’s separation from the Company. References below to RSUs includes our performance-based RSUs and all dividend equivalent rights.

Name	Change in Control Only	Qualifying Termination upon a Change in Control	Involuntary Termination Without Cause	Death or Disability
Damon T. Hininger				
Executive Deferred Compensation Plan ⁽¹⁾	--	--	--	--
Accelerated Vesting of Options ⁽²⁾	\$649,950	\$649,950	--	\$649,950
Accelerated Vesting of RSUs ⁽²⁾	\$4,419,462	\$4,419,462	--	\$4,419,462
Cash Severance ⁽³⁾	--	\$2,511,600(3)	\$840,000	--
Insurance Benefits ⁽⁴⁾	--	\$28,532	--	\$1,500,000
Total:	\$5,069,412	\$7,609,544	\$840,000	\$6,569,412
David M. Garfinkle				
Executive Deferred Compensation Plan ⁽¹⁾	--	--	--	--
Accelerated Vesting of Options ⁽²⁾	\$211,686	\$211,686	--	\$211,686

Name	Change in Control Only	Qualifying Termination upon a Change in Control	Involuntary Termination Without Cause	Death or Disability
Accelerated Vesting of RSUs ⁽²⁾	\$1,420,281	\$1,420,281	--	\$1,420,281
Cash Severance ⁽³⁾	--	\$1,076,400(3)	\$360,000	--
Insurance Benefits ⁽⁴⁾	--	\$21,063	--	\$762,000
Total:	\$1,631,967	\$2,729,430	\$360,000	\$2,393,967
Harley G. Lappin				
Executive Deferred Compensation Plan ⁽¹⁾	\$12,290	\$12,290	--	\$12,290
Accelerated Vesting of Options ⁽²⁾	\$312,914	\$312,914	--	\$312,914
Accelerated Vesting of RSUs ⁽²⁾	\$2,127,809	\$2,127,809	--	\$2,127,809
Cash Severance ⁽³⁾	--	\$1,202,094(3)	\$402,038	--
Insurance Benefits ⁽⁴⁾	--	\$17,126	--	\$1,193,000
Total:	\$2,453,013	\$3,672,233	\$402,038	\$3,646,013
Anthony L. Grande				
Executive Deferred Compensation Plan ⁽¹⁾	--	--	--	--
Accelerated Vesting of Options ⁽²⁾	\$312,914	\$312,914	--	\$312,914
Accelerated Vesting of RSUs ⁽²⁾	\$2,127,799	\$2,127,799	--	\$2,127,799
Cash Severance ⁽³⁾	--	\$1,202,094(3)	\$402,038	--
Insurance Benefits ⁽⁴⁾	--	\$23,878	--	\$1,415,000
Total:	\$2,440,713	\$3,666,685	\$402,038	\$3,855,713
Steven E. Groom				
Executive Deferred Compensation Plan ⁽¹⁾	--	--	--	--
Accelerated Vesting of Options ⁽²⁾	\$257,698	\$257,698	--	\$257,698
Accelerated Vesting of RSUs ⁽²⁾	\$1,752,323	\$1,752,323	--	\$1,752,323
Cash Severance ⁽³⁾	--	\$955,173(3)	\$319,456	--
Insurance Benefits ⁽⁴⁾	--	\$21,372	--	\$1,126,000
Total:	\$2,010,021	\$2,986,566	\$319,456	\$3,136,021
Todd J Mullenger				
Executive Deferred Compensation Plan ⁽¹⁾	--	--	--	--
Accelerated Vesting of Options ⁽²⁾	\$312,914	\$312,914	--	\$312,914
Accelerated Vesting of RSUs ⁽²⁾	\$2,127,799	\$2,127,799	--	\$2,127,799
Cash Severance ⁽³⁾	--	--	\$380,000	--
Insurance Benefits ⁽⁴⁾	--	\$15,130	--	--
Total:	\$2,440,713	\$2,455,843	\$380,000	\$2,440,713

(1) Represents accelerated vesting upon a change in control, death and disability of unvested matching contributions under our Executive Deferred Compensation Plan. Accelerated vesting also occurs upon retirement, as defined.

(2) Represents the value of accelerated vesting of stock options and RSUs which occurs upon a change in control (whether or not the executive's employment is terminated) and upon the death or disability of the executive. Accelerated vesting of stock option amounts are calculated as the difference between the closing market price of our common stock on December 31, 2014 (\$36.34 per share as reported on the NYSE) and the respective exercise prices of in-the-money unvested stock options. Accelerated vesting of RSUs amounts are calculated using the closing market price on December 31, 2014, and such amounts include the outstanding dividend equivalents associated with such RSUs which will similarly vest on an accelerated basis.

(3) In the event of an involuntary termination without cause, represents an amount equal to one times current base salary, which for the first three months would be paid out on the same terms and with the same frequency as the executive's base salary was paid prior to December 31, 2014, and the remainder of the severance amount would be paid out in a lump sum. In the event of a qualifying termination upon a change in control, represents an amount equal to 2.99 times current base salary, to be paid out in a lump sum within 40 days of the termination date.

(4) In the event of a qualifying termination upon a change in control represents the premiums expected to be paid based upon the types of insurance coverage the Company carried for such executive as of December 31, 2014 and the premiums in effect on such date. In the event of death, represents the payouts under the life insurance policies, equal to two times total cash compensation, subject to certain caps. The benefits payable under the supplemental long term disability policy in the event of a disability are not shown in the table. In general, executive officers are entitled to higher payment formulas and higher caps for a potentially longer period of time than other employees under the supplemental long term disability policy.

Director Compensation

Non-employee directors (*i.e.*, all directors other than Mr. Hininger and Mr. Ferguson) are compensated pursuant to our Non-Employee Directors' Compensation Plan and 2008 Stock Plan, which provide for the following:

- Annual equity grants;
- Annual retainers; and
- Board and committee meeting fees.

Non-employee directors may elect to receive all or a portion of their retainers in the form of common stock rather than cash. Non-employee directors may also defer all or a portion of their retainer and meeting fees pursuant to our Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan. In addition, non-employee directors are reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred to attend Board and committee meetings, as well as director education programs.

The retainers and meeting fees paid to our non-employee directors are as follows:

Retainers and Fees	2014
Board retainer	\$53,500
Board meeting fee	\$3,250
Audit & Risk chair retainer	\$12,200
Audit & Risk member retainer	\$2,200
Compensation, Nominating and Governance chair retainer	\$ 5,350
Committee chair meeting fee (excluding Executive)	\$ 2,700
Non-chair committee meeting fee	\$2,200

In addition to cash compensation, non-employee directors are granted RSUs with a grant date fair market value of approximately \$105,000 per year, generally on the same date as grants of equity awards are made to our executive officers and other employees. These RSUs vest on the anniversary of the grant date, subject to continued service through such date.

John D. Ferguson is currently employed by the Company as our executive Chairman of the Board. Mr. Ferguson formerly served as our Chief Executive Officer from 2000 to 2009. Mr. Ferguson is paid cash compensation for his services as our employee, based largely on reductions to the cash compensation paid to him in 2009 (as approved by the Compensation Committee from time to time). Mr. Ferguson does not currently receive any equity award compensation for his services, nor does Ferguson receive any non-executive director compensation for his services as a director.

William F. Andrews was employed by the Company as our Chairman of Executive Committee, a position he had held since his retirement as our Chairman of the Board in 2008 (a position he had held from 2000 to 2008) until his retirement from our Board in 2014. Mr. Andrews was paid cash compensation for his services as our employee based largely on his arrangements in effect in 2008. Mr. Andrews did not receive any equity award compensation for his services, nor did Mr. Andrews receive any non-executive director compensation for his services as a director.

2014 Director Compensation Table

The following table summarizes the compensation paid with respect to the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 to each of the Company's directors except Damon T. Hininger, our Chief Executive Officer, whose compensation is reflected in the Summary Compensation Table.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash ⁽²⁾	Stock Awards ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	Change in Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ⁽⁴⁾	All Other Compensation	Total
Donna M. Alvarado	\$129,700	\$105,008	-	-	\$234,708
William F. Andrews	-	-	-	\$71,381 ⁽⁵⁾	\$71,381
John D. Correnti	\$75,300	\$105,008	\$3	-	\$180,311
Dennis W. DeConcini ⁽¹⁾	\$25,292	-	\$1,292	\$11,192 ⁽⁶⁾	\$37,776
Robert J. Dennis	\$75,300	\$105,008	-	-	\$180,308
Mark A. Emkes ⁽¹⁾	\$33,543	\$52,489	-	-	\$86,032
John D. Ferguson	-	-	\$54,076	\$1,127,269 ⁽⁷⁾	\$1,181,345
John R. Horne ⁽¹⁾	\$25,292	-	-	\$11,192 ⁽⁶⁾	\$36,484
C. Michael Jacobi	\$92,200	\$105,008	\$855	-	\$198,063
Anne L. Mariucci	\$73,200	\$105,008	-	-	\$178,208
Thurgood Marshall, Jr.	\$136,967	\$105,008	-	-	\$241,975
Charles L. Overby	\$145,850	\$105,008	-	-	\$250,858
John R. Prann, Jr.	\$70,300	\$105,008	\$365	-	\$175,673
Joseph V. Russell	\$91,450	\$105,008	\$19,007	-	\$215,465

(1) The amounts for Mr. DeConcini and Mr. Horne reflect compensation paid from January 1, 2014 until their retirement in May 2014. The amounts for Mr. Emkes reflect compensation paid from his appointment on August 14, 2014 through the remainder of the year.

(2) The amounts for Ms. Alvarado, Mr. Overby and Mr. Marshall, Jr., include fees paid in regards to serving on a temporary committee formed to oversee various legal matters.

(3) The amounts shown in this column represent the aggregate grant-date fair value of RSUs based on the closing stock price of \$32.39 on February 19, 2014, the date of annual grant of 3,242 RSUs, and the closing stock price of \$35.37 on August 14, 2014, the date of grant for the initial 1,484 RSUs to Mr. Emkes in connection with his appointment to the Board. The director RSUs vest on the anniversary date of the grant and have dividend equivalent rights that are payable in cash only when and to the extent the RSUs vest and the underlying shares are issued. All grants of RSUs and dividend equivalents were made under the Company's 2008 Plan.

(4) The amounts shown in this column represent above-market earnings on fees that the Director chose to defer pursuant to the Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, which is more fully described below. Amounts shown are based on the Company's fixed rate for 2013 of 5.6%, and 120% of the applicable federal long-term rate, with compounding (as prescribed under section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, (26 U.S.C. 1274(d))) of 3.99%.

(5) The amount reflects total employee compensation Mr. Andrews received during 2014, which consists of salary of \$67,982 and Company matching contributions to the 401(k) Plan of \$3,399; until his retirement in May 2014.

(6) The amount reflects a retirement gift certificate in recognition of their service on the Board, inclusive of estimated tax gross-up of \$3,654 on the value of the gift certificate.

(7) The amount reflects total employee compensation Mr. Ferguson earned for his services as an executive officer during 2014, which consists of: salary of \$540,000; cash incentive plan of \$547,668; and Company matching contributions pursuant to the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan of \$39,601.

- (8) As of December 31, 2014, the aggregate number of stock awards and option awards outstanding for each of the Company's non-employee directors were as follows:

Name	Aggregate Stock Awards Outstanding as of December 31, 2014	Aggregate Option Awards Outstanding as of December 31, 2014
Donna M. Alvarado	3,242 ^(a)	97,847
William F. Andrews	-	15,409
John D. Correnti	3,242	73,637
Dennis W. DeConcini	-	13,610
Robert J. Dennis	3,242	-
Mark A. Emkes	1,484	-
John D. Ferguson	-	-
John R. Horne	-	57,817
C. Michael Jacobi	3,242	43,535
Anne L. Mariucci	3,242	10,952
Thurgood Marshall, Jr.	3,242	101,847
Charles L. Overby	3,242	59,532
John R. Prann, Jr.	3,242	43,535
Joseph V. Russell	3,242	57,817

(a) Includes 3,242 shares that vested on February 19, 2015, but for which the Board member has elected to defer receipt until separation of service from the Board.

Director Stock Ownership Guidelines

We maintain stock ownership guidelines applicable to our executive officers and directors. The Company's original stock ownership guidelines were adopted by our Board of Directors on March 1, 2007. The stock ownership guidelines are designed to align the economic interests of executive officers and directors with those of stockholders, and to discourage excessive risk-taking by management and directors. The guidelines as applied to our directors provide that the Company's non-executive directors are expected to own a fixed number of shares of common stock of the Company equal to four times such director's annual retainer (excluding any retainer for chairing or serving as a member of a committee) in effect as of March 1, 2012 or their later date of initial election or appointment to the Board, divided by the Company's closing common stock price, as reported on the NYSE, on such date. The stock ownership guidelines were amended by our Board of Directors in May 2013 to increase the number of shares the directors and officers are expected to own to give effect to the REIT conversion special dividend. Non-executive directors are required to achieve these ownership levels, subject to a limited hardship exemption, five years following their initial election or appointment to the Board, or (in the case of directors serving on the Board at the time the guidelines were adopted) by March 1, 2012. See "Stock Ownership Guidelines" in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy for the shares counted in determining share ownership.

Our guidelines and the compliance status of the Company's directors as of the last quarterly review date of February 19, 2015 are shown in the table below.

Name	Shares Needed to Comply with Guidelines	Number of Shares Held	Compliance Date
Donna M. Alvarado	9,105	20,480	3/1/2012
John D. Correnti	9,105	17,227	3/1/2012
Robert J. Dennis	7,112	7,854	2/21/2018
Mark A. Emkes	6,050	-	8/14/2019
John D. Ferguson	95,597 ⁽¹⁾	181,410	3/1/2012
C. Michael Jacobi	9,105	68,337	3/1/2012
Anne L. Mariucci	11,909	17,505	12/8/2016
Thurgood Marshall, Jr.	9,105	26,033	3/1/2012
Charles L. Overby	9,105	20,429	3/1/2012
John R. Prann, Jr.	9,105	29,824	3/1/2012
Joseph V. Russell	9,105	198,455	3/1/2012

(1) Calculated using executive officer formula due to status as a salaried employee.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

Ownership of Common Stock – Directors and Executive Officers

The following table contains information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 16, 2015 by (i) each current director and nominee, (ii) our named executive officers, and (iii) all of our current directors and executive officers as a group.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾	Shares Acquirable Within 60 Days ⁽²⁾	Total Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Common Stock Beneficially Owned ⁽³⁾
John D. Ferguson ⁽⁴⁾	181,410	-	181,410	*
Damon T. Hininger	181,833	474,367	656,200	*
Donna M. Alvarado.....	33,762	87,742	121,504	*
John D. Correnti	23,078	73,637	96,715	*
Robert J. Dennis.....	13,705	-	13,705	*
Mark A. Emkes	4,093	-	4,093	*
David M. Garfinkle	51,906	116,354	168,260	*
C. Michael Jacobi.....	74,188	43,535	117,723	*
Thurgood Marshall, Jr.....	31,884	101,847	133,731	*
Anne L. Mariucci.....	23,356	10,952	34,308	*
Charles L. Overby	26,280	59,532	85,812	*
John R. Prann, Jr.	35,675	43,535	79,210	*
Joseph V. Russell.....	204,306	57,817	262,123	*
Anthony L. Grande	109,288	11,175	120,463	*
Steven E. Groom.....	69,735	18,407	88,142	*
Harley G. Lappin	52,529	67,053	119,582	*
Todd J Mullenger.....	16,882	22,351	39,233	*
All current directors and executive officers as a group (18 persons)	1,155,192	1,209,765	2,364,957	2.0%

* Represents beneficial ownership of less than 1% of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

(1) Except as set forth below, each person in the table has sole voting and investment power over the shares listed.

(2) Reflects the number of shares that could be purchased upon exercise of stock options that are exercisable with 60 days of March 16, 2015. None of our outstanding RSUs will vest within 60 days of March 16, 2015.

(3) The percentages in this column are based on 116,927,005 shares outstanding as of March 16, 2015. In addition, pursuant to SEC rules, shares of the Company's common stock that an individual owner has a right to acquire within 60 days pursuant to the exercise of stock options are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the ownership of that owner and for the purpose of computing the ownership of all directors and executive officers as a group, but are not deemed outstanding for the purpose of computing the ownership of any other owner.

(4) Includes (i) 4,167 shares held in our 401(k) Plan; (ii) 80,573 shares held by Calco Investments, LLC; (iii) 80,573 held by Ferguson Financial, LLC; and (iv) 1,182 shares held by the Ferguson Family Trust. Mr. Ferguson shares investment and voting control with his wife on the shares held in the Ferguson Family Trust.

Ownership of Common Stock – Principal Stockholders

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our voting securities as of March 16, 2015 by each person who is known by CCA to own beneficially more than 5% of any class of outstanding voting securities of CCA:

Name of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of Common Stock Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾
The Vanguard Group, Inc. ⁽²⁾ 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	16,261,449	13.9%
Vanguard Specialized Funds Vanguard REIT Index Fund ⁽³⁾ 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	8,642,594	7.4%
BlackRock, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ 55 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10022	8,363,767	7.2%
Epoch Investment Partners, Inc. ⁽⁵⁾ 399 Park Avenue New York, New York, 10022	6,819,248	5.8%
FMR LLC ⁽⁶⁾ 245 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210	5,963,747	5.1%
The London Company ⁽⁷⁾ 1801 Bayberry Court, Suite 301 Richmond, Virginia 23226	5,895,989	5.0%

- (1) The percentages in this column are based on 116,927,005 shares outstanding as of March 16, 2015.
- (2) Based on the Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 11, 2015, filed by The Vanguard Group, which reported sole voting power over 77,316, shared voting power over 70,465 shares, and sole dispositive power over 16,110,840 shares and shared dispositive power over 150,609 shares.
- (3) Based on the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 6, 2015 filed by Vanguard Specialized Funds - Vanguard REIT Index Fund, which reported sole voting power over all of the shares and dispositive power over none of the shares.
- (4) Based on the Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on January 26, 2015 by Blackrock, Inc., which reported sole voting power over 8,005,836 shares and sole dispositive power over all of the shares.
- (5) Based on the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 12, 2015 by TD Asset Management, Inc., which reported sole voting and dispositive power over 218,166 shares, and Epoch Investment Partners, Inc., which reported sole voting and dispositive power over 6,601,082 shares.
- (6) Based on the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 13, 2015 by FMR LLC, which reported sole voting power over 2,947,947 shares and sole dispositive power over 5,963,747.
- (7) Based on the Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 13, 2015 by The London Company, which reported sole voting and dispositive power over 5,287,550 shares and shared dispositive power over 608,439 shares.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our executive officers and directors to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC and the NYSE. Based on our records and other information, all Section 16(a) filing requirements were satisfied by our executive officers and directors in 2014, except for the following:

- A Form 4 for Mr. Garfinkle was filed late on March 6, 2014 to report (i) the disposition of Company stock for tax obligations related to previously reported vesting of restricted stock units that occurred on February 23, 2014 and (ii) the vesting of performance-based restricted stock units and related disposition of Company stock for tax obligations that occurred on February 27, 2014.
- Forms 4 for Messrs. Hininger, Garfinkle, Grande, Groom and Lappin and Ms. Mayberry were filed late on March 25, 2014 to report the vesting of performance-based restricted stock units and related disposition of Company stock for tax obligations that occurred on March 16, 2014.
- A Form 4 for Mr. Overby was filed late on December 1, 2014 to report a sale of Company stock that occurred on November 24, 2014.
- A Form 5 for Mr. Overby was filed on February 17, 2015 to report the exercise of stock options and related disposition of Company stock for tax obligations that occurred on November 17, 2014.

OTHER

No Incorporation by Reference


To the extent that this proxy statement is incorporated by reference into any other filing by us under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, the sections of this proxy statement entitled “Audit and Risk Committee Report” or “Compensation Committee Report” will not be deemed incorporated, unless specifically provided otherwise in such filing.

In addition, references to our website are not intended to function as a hyperlink and the information contained on our website is not intended to be part of this proxy statement. Information on our website, other than our proxy statement, Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and form of proxy, is not part of the proxy soliciting material and is not incorporated herein by reference.

Forward-Looking Statements

This proxy statement contains “forward-looking statements” (as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995). These statements are based on our current expectations and beliefs and are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in the statements. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements may include statements regarding actions to be taken by us. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Forward-looking statements involve significant risks and uncertainties, including those mentioned in the risk factors in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 and in our most recent periodic reports on Form 10-Q and Form 8-K filed with the SEC, and actual results may vary materially.

By Order of the Board of Directors,



Steven E. Groom
Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary